

DIAGRAM SPACES, DIAGRAM SPECTRA, AND SPECTRA OF UNITS

JOHN A. LIND

ABSTRACT. We compare the infinite loop spaces associated to symmetric spectra, orthogonal spectra, and EKMM S -modules. Each of these categories of structured spectra has a corresponding category of structured spaces that receives the infinite loop space functor Ω^∞ . We prove that these models for spaces are Quillen equivalent and that the infinite loop space functors Ω^∞ agree. This comparison is then used to show that two different constructions of the spectrum of units $gl_1 R$ of a structured ring spectrum R agree.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Infinite loop space theory of symmetric spectra	6
3. Infinite loop space theory of orthogonal spectra	8
4. Functors with cartesian product and diagram ring spectra	10
5. Comparing left and right Quillen functors	12
6. Comparison of infinite loop spaces of diagram spectra	12
7. Infinite loop space theory of S -modules	14
8. The equivalence of $\mathcal{S}_f$ -spaces and $*$ -modules	16
9. Comparison of infinite loop spaces of orthogonal spectra and S -modules	21
10. The space of units of a diagram ring spectrum	23
11. The spectrum of units of a commutative diagram ring spectrum	25
12. Comparison of units of diagram ring spectra	29
13. Comparison of units of orthogonal and E_∞ ring spectra	32
14. Construction of the stable model structure	34
15. The equivalence of $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces and $\mathcal{S}_f$ -spaces	41
16. The model structure on commutative FCPs	43
References	46

1. INTRODUCTION

The stable homotopy category can be constructed from a category of spectra that is symmetric monoidal under the smash product. Indeed, there are many such categories of spectra. The models that we will consider are symmetric spectra [10, 13], orthogonal spectra [13] and (EKMM) S -modules [6]. These categories of spectra, as well as their various categories of rings and modules, are known to be Quillen equivalent [12, 13, 28]. However, a Quillen equivalence only gives so much information. On their own, these Quillen equivalences cannot compare the infinite

loop spaces associated to equivalent models of the same spectrum. The present paper makes this comparison of infinite loop spaces.

To describe the prototype of the objects under comparison, let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the category of spectra E whose zeroth spaces are infinite loop spaces: these are sequences of spaces E_n with homeomorphisms $E_n \rightarrow \Omega E_{n+1}$. Then the infinite loop space associated to such a spectrum E is its zeroth space: $\Omega^\infty E = E_0 \cong \Omega^n E_n$ for all n . This defines a functor Ω^∞ from $\mathcal{S}$ to the category $\mathcal{T}$ of based spaces. The suspension spectrum functor Σ^∞ is the left adjoint of Ω^∞ . Composing with the free/forgetful adjunction between the category of unbased spaces $\mathcal{U}$ and the category of based spaces $\mathcal{T}$, we have the composite adjunction:

$$(0) \quad \mathcal{U} \xrightleftharpoons{(-)_+} \mathcal{T} \xrightleftharpoons[\Omega^\infty]{\Sigma^\infty} \mathcal{S}.$$

The main point of this paper is to define the analog of this adjunction for symmetric spectra, orthogonal spectra and S -modules, and then to show that these three adjunctions agree after passing to the homotopy category of spaces and the homotopy category of spectra. Each model for spectra has a corresponding model for spaces that is symmetric monoidal with commutative monoids modeling infinite loop spaces. These models are Quillen equivalent and this equivalence is the enhancement to the Quillen equivalence of spectra needed to compare infinite loop space information.

The “structured spaces” associated to structured spectra are of considerable interest in their own right. Since their commutative monoids model infinite loop spaces, applying Ω^∞ to a commutative ring spectrum yields a space with a multiplicative infinite loop space structure. Multiplicative infinite loop spaces, as packaged in May’s notion of an E_∞ ring space, were central to the early applications of the theory of structured ring spectra (see [19] for the role of E_∞ ring spaces in stable topological algebra and [20] for a history of these applications). More recently, Rognes has developed the logarithmic algebra of structured ring spectra [24], which explicitly uses both the symmetric spectrum and EKMM approach to structured ring spectra and their multiplicative infinite loop spaces. Our results not only prove that his constructions in the two contexts are equivalent, but show how to transport information between them.

Another example of the use of multiplicative infinite loop spaces is the spectrum of units $gl_1 R$ of a commutative ring spectrum R . Spectra of units are essential to multiplicative orientation theory in geometric topology [20] and have been used more recently in Rezk’s logarithmic power operations [23] and the String orientation of tmf [1, 2]. To construct $gl_1 R$, the multiplication on R is converted into the addition on the spectrum $gl_1 R$. This transfer of structure occurs on the infinite loop space associated to R , and cannot be performed purely in terms of spectra. In fact, there are two constructions of spectra of units: the first is the original definition of May, Quinn and Ray for E_∞ ring spectra [15] and the second is the definition for commutative symmetric ring spectra given by Schlichtkrull in [25]. Using the comparison of infinite loop spaces, we also prove that these two constructions give equivalent spectra as output.

Let $\Sigma\mathcal{S}$ be the category of symmetric spectra, $\mathcal{OS}$ the category of orthogonal spectra, and $\mathcal{MS}$ the category of S -modules. Let $\mathbb{I}$ be the category of finite sets and injective functions and let $\mathbb{I}\mathcal{U}$ be the category of functors from $\mathbb{I}$ to the category

$\mathcal{U}$ of unbased spaces. We call an object of $\mathbb{I}\mathcal{U}$ an $\mathbb{I}$ -space. $\mathbb{I}\mathcal{U}$ is the appropriate model for spaces corresponding to symmetric spectra; it receives the infinite loop space functor $\Omega^\bullet$ from symmetric spectra and participates in an adjunction:

$$(1) \quad \mathbb{I}\mathcal{U} \xrightleftharpoons[\Omega^\bullet]{\Sigma_+^\bullet} \Sigma\mathcal{S}.$$

Here, the subscript $+$ denotes adding a disjoint basepoint to each space in the diagram before taking the suspension spectrum. We will work throughout with models for unbased spaces for flexibility and later ease in constructing gl_1 .

In direct analogy to $\mathbb{I}$, let $\mathcal{I}_f$ denote the category of finite dimensional real inner-product spaces and linear isometries (not necessarily isomorphisms). Let $\mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U}$ denote the category of functors $\mathcal{I}_f \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$, which we refer to as $\mathcal{I}_f$ -spaces. $\mathcal{I}_f$ -spaces are the model for spaces corresponding to orthogonal spectra and we have an adjunction:

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U} \xrightleftharpoons[\Omega^\bullet]{\Sigma_+^\bullet} \mathcal{I}\mathcal{S}.$$

That $\mathbb{I}\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U}$ are models for the category of spaces is provided by the following:

Theorem 1.1. *The category of $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces has a Quillen model structure such that the homotopy colimit functor*

$$\mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbb{I}}: \mathbb{I}\mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}$$

induces a Quillen equivalence between $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces and spaces. Similarly, the category of $\mathcal{I}_f$ -spaces has a model structure such that

$$\mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{I}_f^U}: \mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}$$

induces a Quillen equivalence with the category of spaces.

Notice that the homotopy colimit in the orthogonal case is taken over a restricted category $\mathcal{I}_f^U$, whose morphisms are only the inclusions $V \subset W$. This is the beginning of the subtle difference between the infinite loop space theories of symmetric and orthogonal spectra. The construction of the model structure is carried out for a general diagram category $\mathcal{D}$ satisfying a few axioms and may be of independent interest. The model structures will be used throughout but the construction is delayed to §14. According to [24], Sagave and Schlichtkrull have also proved this result, at least for $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces.

Moving on from diagram spaces, let $\mathcal{M}_*$ denote the category of $*$ -modules [4]. This is a symmetric monoidal category Quillen equivalent to $\mathcal{U}$ whose commutative monoids are the algebras for the linear isometries operad $\mathcal{L}$ (i.e. E_∞ spaces). $\mathcal{M}_*$ is the model for spaces corresponding to S -modules and we have an adjunction:

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{M}_* \xrightleftharpoons[\Omega_S^\infty]{\Sigma_{L+}^\infty} \mathcal{M}_S,$$

Readers of [6] should be warned that Ω_S^∞ is *not* the usual functor Ω^∞ on S -modules. Instead, Ω_S^∞ is a version of Ω^∞ that passes through the mirror-image categories $\mathcal{M}^S$ and $\mathcal{M}^*$. This is necessary to yield $*$ -modules as output and to make the resulting adjunction work correctly.

Theorem 1.2. *The three adjunctions (1) - (3) descend to homotopy categories compatibly with the adjunction*

$$\mathrm{ho} \mathcal{U} \xrightleftharpoons[\Omega^\infty]{\Sigma_+^\infty} \mathrm{ho} \mathcal{S}.$$

induced by the prototype adjunction (0).

To prove this, it suffices to prove that the three models for the infinite loop space functor Ω^∞ agree on $\mathrm{ho} \mathcal{S}$. Since we are using different but Quillen equivalent models for spectra, we must incorporate the comparison functors between these models. The same is true for our different models for spaces. In fact, we will use two intermediaries between orthogonal spectra and S -modules. These are the category $\mathcal{S}[\mathbb{L}]$ of $\mathbb{L}$ -spectra and $\mathcal{M}^S$, the mirror image to the category of S -modules. There are analogs at the space level: $\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}]$, the category of $\mathbb{L}$ -spaces, and $\mathcal{M}^*$, the mirror image to the category of $*$ -modules. We shall construct the following diagram relating all of these categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
 \Sigma \mathcal{S} & \xrightleftharpoons[\mathcal{U}]{\mathcal{P}} & \mathcal{I} \mathcal{S} & \xrightleftharpoons[\mathcal{N}^\#]{\mathcal{N}} & \mathcal{S}[\mathbb{L}] & \xrightleftharpoons[\mathcal{r}]{F_{\mathcal{L}}(S, -)} & \mathcal{M}^S & \xrightleftharpoons[F_{\mathcal{L}}(S, -)]{S \wedge_{\mathcal{L}} -} & \mathcal{M}_S \\
 \downarrow \Omega^\bullet & & \downarrow \Omega^\bullet & & \downarrow \Omega_L^\infty & & \downarrow \Omega_L^\infty & & \downarrow \Omega_S^\infty \\
 \mathbb{I} \mathcal{U} & \xrightleftharpoons[\mathcal{U}]{\mathcal{P}} & \mathcal{I}_f \mathcal{U} & \xrightleftharpoons[\mathcal{Q}^\#]{\mathcal{Q}} & \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}] & \xrightleftharpoons[\mathcal{r}]{F_{\mathcal{L}}(*, -)} & \mathcal{M}^* & \xrightleftharpoons[F_{\mathcal{L}}(*, -)]{* \boxtimes_{\mathcal{L}} -} & \mathcal{M}_*
 \end{array}$$

The top row consist of models for the stable homotopy category and the bottom row consists of models for the homotopy category of spaces. All categories are symmetric monoidal with commutative monoids giving structured ring spectra and infinite loop spaces, respectively. All parallel arrows are monoidal Quillen equivalences with left adjoints on top. All vertical arrows are Quillen right adjoints. We prove Theorem 1.2 by showing that this diagram commutes up to weak equivalence. More precisely, we show that the diagram of derived functors on homotopy categories commutes up to natural isomorphism. The two squares on the right commute by construction, so this is accomplished by showing that (the derived versions of) the two left diagrams commute (Propositions 6.3 and 9.2, respectively).

There is also a version of this diagram for ring spectra and for commutative ring spectra, by passage to monoids and commutative monoids in all of the categories present. The version for commutative ring spectra is used to prove the following comparison result for spectra of units.

Given a symmetric monoidal category $\mathcal{C}$, let $\mathbf{C}\mathcal{C}$ denote the category of commutative monoids in $\mathcal{C}$. Thus $\mathbf{C}\Sigma \mathcal{S}$ is the category of commutative symmetric ring spectra, $\mathbf{C}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{S}$ is the category of commutative orthogonal ring spectra and $\mathbf{C}\mathcal{M}_S$ is the category of commutative S -algebras. The latter is a subcategory of $\mathcal{S}[\mathcal{L}]$, the category of $\mathcal{L}$ -spectra, also known as E_∞ ring spectra. Let $gl_1: \mathcal{S}[\mathcal{L}] \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ denote the spectrum of units functor for E_∞ ring spectra, as constructed in [15]. Let $gl_1: \mathbf{C}\mathcal{M}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be its restriction to commutative S -algebras. In §10–11, we will construct functors $gl_1: \mathbf{C}\Sigma \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ and $gl_1: \mathbf{C}\mathcal{I} \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, which give the spectra of units of commutative diagram ring spectra. For commutative symmetric ring spectra, gl_1 agrees with the construction in [25]. We use the notation $\mathrm{ho} \mathcal{A}lg_S$

as shorthand for any one of our equivalent homotopy categories of commutative diagram ring spectra or E_∞ ring spectra.

Theorem 1.3. *After passing to homotopy categories, all four versions of the spectrum of units gl_1 of a commutative ring spectrum agree, giving a single functor $gl_1: \text{ho } \mathcal{A}lg_S \longrightarrow \text{ho } \mathcal{S}$.*

This is proved as Theorem 12.9 and Theorem 13.3. The proof requires the comparison of delooping machines, which seems to be intrinsically non-model theoretic.

Outline. In §2–3 we define $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces, $\mathcal{S}_f$ -spaces and the adjunctions (1) and (2) involving the infinite loop space functors $\Omega^\bullet$ for symmetric and orthogonal spectra. In §4, we show that the adjunctions are monoidal and that commutative monoids in $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces and $\mathcal{S}_f$ -spaces (dubbed $\mathbb{I}$ -FCPs and $\mathcal{S}_f$ -FCPs) give rise to E_∞ -spaces and hence to infinite loop spaces. §5 contains the basic categorical technique used for all of the comparisons in the paper. In §6 we compare $\Omega^\bullet$ for symmetric and orthogonal spectra. In §7 we shift to the EKMM approach, describing $*$ -modules and defining the infinite loop space functor Ω_S^∞ for S -modules. In §8 we construct the Quillen equivalence between $\mathcal{S}_f$ -spaces and $*$ -modules. The comparison of infinite loop spaces is complete by §9 with the comparison of $\Omega^\bullet$ on orthogonal spectra with Ω_S^∞ on S -modules. In §10 we define an FCP $GL_1^\bullet R$ of units associated to a diagram ring spectrum R and in §11 we convert commutative FCPs to spectra, thus defining the spectrum of units $gl_1 R$. We compare gl_1 of symmetric and orthogonal ring spectra in §12 and then compare with gl_1 of E_∞ ring spectra in §13. The rest of the paper contains the model-theoretic results that underly the comparison results. In §14 we construct the stable model structure on the category of $\mathcal{D}$ -spaces in full generality and in §15 we prove that the stable model structures on $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces and $\mathcal{S}_f$ -spaces are Quillen equivalent. In §16 we construct the stable model structure on commutative monoids in $\mathcal{D}$ -spaces ($\mathcal{D}$ -FCPs).

Conventions. $\mathcal{D}$ will always denote a topological category, i.e. a category enriched in topological spaces $\mathcal{U}$. The main examples in this paper are $\mathbb{I}$ (with the discrete topology) and $\mathcal{S}_f$. By a $\mathcal{D}$ -space we mean a continuous functor $\mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}$. See [13] for generalities on the category of $\mathcal{D}$ -spaces, including the level (or projective) model structure and enriched limits, colimits and Kan extensions. We will use the language of compactly generated model categories, which are a particular type of cofibrantly generated model category [13]. Recall that a model category $\mathcal{C}$ is topological if it is enriched, tensored and cotensored in $\mathcal{U}$ and the topological analog of SM7 holds: given a cofibration $i: A \longrightarrow X$ and fibration $p: E \longrightarrow B$, the induced map of spaces

$$\mathcal{C}(i, p): \mathcal{C}(X, E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(A, E) \times_{\mathcal{C}(A, B)} \mathcal{C}(X, B)$$

is a Serre fibration which is a weak equivalence if either i or p is. All of the model categories under consideration will be topological. The translation of the results to contexts based on simplicial sets is standard and left to the reader. Finally, a diagram of categories, such as the main diagram of this introduction, will be said to commute when we really mean “commute up to natural isomorphism”.

Acknowledgments. I thank Peter May for his guidance and enthusiasm, as well as Mike Shulman, Andrew Blumberg and Mike Mandell for helpful conversations.

2. INFINITE LOOP SPACE THEORY OF SYMMETRIC SPECTRA

Let Σ be the category of finite sets $\mathbf{n} = \{1, \dots, n\}$ for $n \geq 0$ and permutations $\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{n}$. The category $\Sigma\mathcal{T}$ of based Σ -spaces is symmetric monoidal under the internal smash product $\wedge$. The sphere Σ -space $S: \mathbf{n} \mapsto S^n$ is a commutative monoid and a symmetric spectrum E is a right module over S in the symmetric monoidal category $\Sigma\mathcal{T}$. We denote the category of symmetric spectra by $\Sigma\mathcal{S}$. To capture the infinite loop space information contained in a symmetric spectrum, we describe the structure naturally occurring in the collection of loop spaces $\{\Omega^n E_n\}$.

To this end, let $\mathbb{I}$ be the category of finite sets $\mathbf{n} = \{1, \dots, n\}$ for $n \geq 0$ with morphisms the injective set maps. Let $\mathbb{J}$ be the subcategory of $\mathbb{I}$ with the same objects but with morphisms only the inclusions $\iota: \mathbf{m} \rightarrow \mathbf{n}$ such that $\iota(k) = k$. Note that $\mathbb{I}$ is the smallest symmetric monoidal category containing both Σ and $\mathbb{J}$ and that every morphism φ of $\mathbb{I}$ can be factored (non-uniquely) as $\varphi = \bar{\varphi} \circ \iota$ for some permutation $\bar{\varphi}$ in Σ .

Let E be a symmetric spectrum. Define a based $\mathbb{I}$ -space $\Omega^\bullet E$ on objects by $(\Omega^\bullet E)_n = \Omega^n E_n$. Given a morphism $\varphi: \mathbf{m} \rightarrow \mathbf{n}$ of $\mathbb{I}$, we write $\varphi = \bar{\varphi} \circ \iota$ where $\iota: \mathbf{m} \rightarrow \mathbf{n}$ is the natural inclusion and $\bar{\varphi} \in \Sigma_n$. The induced map $\iota_*: \Omega^m E_m \rightarrow \Omega^n E_n$ is $\Omega^m \tilde{\sigma}$, where $\tilde{\sigma}$ is the adjoint of the spectrum structure map $\Sigma^{n-m} E_m \rightarrow E_n$. Define $\bar{\varphi}_*: \Omega^n E_n \rightarrow \Omega^n E_n$ by sending $\gamma \in \Omega^n E_n$ to the composite:

$$S^n \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}^{-1}} S^n \xrightarrow{\gamma} E_n \xrightarrow{E(\bar{\varphi})} E_n.$$

The induced map $\varphi_*: \Omega^m E_m \rightarrow \Omega^n E_n$ is defined to be $\bar{\varphi}_* \circ \iota_*$ and is independent of the choice of $\bar{\varphi}$.

The functor $\Omega^\bullet: \Sigma\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}\mathcal{T}$ has a left adjoint $\Sigma^\bullet$. Given a based $\mathbb{I}$ -space X , the symmetric spectrum $\Sigma^\bullet X$ is given by $(\Sigma^\bullet X)_n = X_n \wedge S^n$, with permutations acting diagonally. The adjunction

$$\mathbb{I}\mathcal{T} \xrightleftharpoons[\Omega^\bullet]{\Sigma^\bullet} \Sigma\mathcal{S}$$

should be thought of as the symmetric spectrum analog of the usual $(\Sigma^\infty, \Omega^\infty)$ adjunction between based spaces and spectra. The $\mathbb{I}$ -space $\Omega^\bullet E$ is the appropriate notion of the infinite loop space associated to the symmetric spectrum E .

From now on, we will work with unbased $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces. The usual adjunction between unbased and based spaces passes to diagram spaces, and we have the composite adjunction:

$$\mathbb{I}\mathcal{U} \xrightleftharpoons{(-)_+} \mathbb{I}\mathcal{T} \xrightleftharpoons[\Omega^\bullet]{\Sigma^\bullet} \Sigma\mathcal{S}.$$

Denote the top composite by $\Sigma_+^\bullet$.

To understand the homotopy type that $\Omega^\bullet E$ determines, we combine the spaces $\Omega^n E_n$ into a single space using the (unbased) homotopy colimit:

Definition 2.1. Given a symmetric spectrum E , let $\Omega^\infty E = \text{hocolim}_{\mathbb{I}} \Omega^\bullet E$.

Remark 2.2. In general, $\pi_k \Omega^\infty E$ and $\pi_k E$ do not agree. However, for $k \geq 0$, the $\pi_k \Omega^\infty E$ are the “true” homotopy groups of the symmetric spectrum E . This is because $\Omega^\infty E$ is the zeroth space of Shipley’s detection functor D [31] applied to E . When E is semistable in the sense of [10], then $\pi_k \Omega^\infty E$ is isomorphic to $\pi_k E$ for $k \geq 0$. For more on semistable symmetric spectra and the nuances of the homotopy groups of symmetric spectra, see [27].

Thinking of the homotopy colimit of an $\mathbb{I}$ -space as determining its underlying homotopy type leads to the stable model structure on $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces, which will be constructed in §14. The following is a special case of Theorem 14.3:

Theorem 2.3. *There is a compactly generated topological model structure on $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces, called the stable model structure, with weak equivalences the maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that the induced map of homotopy colimits*

$$f_*: \operatorname{hocolim}_{\mathbb{I}} X \rightarrow \operatorname{hocolim}_{\mathbb{I}} Y$$

is a weak equivalence of spaces. The fibrations are level fibrations $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that for every morphism $\varphi: \mathbf{m} \rightarrow \mathbf{n}$ of $\mathbb{I}$, the induced map

$$X_\varphi \times f_m: X_m \rightarrow X_n \times_{Y_n} Y_m$$

is a weak equivalence of spaces. In particular, the fibrant objects are the $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces X such that $X_\varphi: X_m \rightarrow X_n$ is a weak equivalence for every morphism $\varphi: \mathbf{m} \rightarrow \mathbf{n}$ of $\mathbb{I}$.

The connection to the homotopy theory of symmetric spectra, considered under the stable model structure [13], is given by the following result.

Proposition 2.4. $(\Sigma_+^\bullet, \Omega^\bullet)$ is a Quillen adjunction between $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces and symmetric spectra.

Before proving this, we will prove:

Lemma 2.5. $\Omega^\bullet$ preserves fibrant objects.

Proof. Suppose that E is a fibrant symmetric spectrum. Then the maps $\tilde{\sigma}: E_n \rightarrow \Omega E_{n+1}$ are weak equivalences. Let $\varphi: \mathbf{m} \rightarrow \mathbf{n}$ be any morphism of $\mathbb{I}$. By the description of fibrant objects, we need to show that $(\Omega^\bullet E)_\varphi: \Omega^m E_m \rightarrow \Omega^n E_n$ is a weak equivalence. Factor φ as $\varphi = \bar{\varphi} \circ \iota$, where ι is the natural inclusion $\mathbf{m} \subset \mathbf{n}$ and $\bar{\varphi}$ is a permutation. As $\bar{\varphi}$ induces a homeomorphism of spaces, we need only show that $(\Omega^\bullet E)_\iota: \Omega^m E_m \rightarrow \Omega^n E_n$ is a weak equivalence. But $(\Omega^\bullet E)_\iota = \Omega^m \tilde{\sigma}^{n-m}$, where $\tilde{\sigma}$ is the adjoint to the structure maps of the spectrum E . Since each instance of $\tilde{\sigma}$ is a weak equivalence, $(\Omega^\bullet E)_\iota: \Omega^m E_m \rightarrow \Omega^n E_n$ is also a weak equivalence. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 2.4. It suffices to show that $\Omega^\bullet$ preserves fibrations and acyclic fibrations. Suppose that $p: E \rightarrow B$ is a fibration of symmetric spectra. Then p is a level fibration of symmetric spectra [13, §9], so each component $p_n: E_n \rightarrow B_n$ is a fibration of spaces. Thus $(\Omega^\bullet p)_n: \Omega^n E_n \rightarrow \Omega^n B_n$ is a fibration, so $\Omega^\bullet p$ is a level fibration of $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces. Next form the fiber F of p as the following pullback:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \longrightarrow & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ * & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

Since p has the right lifting property with respect to acyclic cofibrations, $F \rightarrow *$ does as well, so F is a fibrant symmetric spectrum. By Lemma 2.5, $\Omega^\bullet F$ is a fibrant $\mathbb{I}$ -space. Let $\varphi: \mathbf{m} \rightarrow \mathbf{n}$ be a morphism of $\mathbb{I}$. Then the induced map $(\Omega^\bullet F)_\varphi: \Omega^m F_m \rightarrow \Omega^n F_n$ is a weak equivalence. Now consider the following diagram, where each vertical column is a fiber sequence of spaces, the middle one by

definition:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \Omega^m F_m & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{fiber } \pi & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \Omega^n F_n \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \Omega^m E_m & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Omega^n E_n \times_{\Omega^n B_n} \Omega^m B_m & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Omega^n E_n \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \Omega^\bullet p \\
 \Omega^m B_m & \xlongequal{\quad} & \Omega^m B_m & \xrightarrow{(\Omega^\bullet B)_\varphi} & \Omega^n B_n
 \end{array}$$

The lower right square is a pullback, so the induced map of fibers $\text{fiber } \pi \longrightarrow \Omega^n F_n$ is a weak equivalence as indicated. The top composite is the weak equivalence $(\Omega^\bullet F)_\varphi: \Omega^m F_m \longrightarrow \Omega^n F_n$, so the map $\Omega^m F_m \longrightarrow \text{fiber } \pi$ is a weak equivalence. It follows that the map of total spaces

$$\Omega^m E_m \longrightarrow \Omega^n E_n \times_{\Omega^n B_n} \Omega^m B_m$$

is a weak equivalence. By the description of fibrations in the stable model structure, this means that $\Omega^\bullet p: \Omega^\bullet E \longrightarrow \Omega^\bullet B$ is a fibration of $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces. Thus $\Omega^\bullet$ preserves fibrations.

Next we must show that $\Omega^\bullet$ preserves acyclic fibrations. Suppose that $p: E \longrightarrow B$ is an acyclic fibration of symmetric spectra. Then $\Omega^\bullet p$ is a fibration and we need to show that it is a stable equivalence. By [13, 9.8], p is a level equivalence of symmetric spectra, meaning that each map $p_n: E_n \longrightarrow B_n$ is a weak equivalence of spaces. Therefore each map $(\Omega^\bullet p)_n: \Omega^n E_n \longrightarrow \Omega^n B_n$ is a weak equivalence, so the map of homotopy colimits

$$(\Omega^\bullet p)_*: \text{hocolim}_{\mathbb{I}} \Omega^\bullet E \longrightarrow \text{hocolim}_{\mathbb{I}} \Omega^\bullet B$$

is a weak equivalence, proving that $\Omega^\bullet p$ is a stable equivalence. $\square$

3. INFINITE LOOP SPACE THEORY OF ORTHOGONAL SPECTRA

We now make the directly analogous constructions for orthogonal spectra. Let $\mathcal{J}$ be the category of finite dimensional real inner-product spaces V and linear isometric isomorphisms $V \longrightarrow W$. The category $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{T}$ of $\mathcal{J}$ -spaces is symmetric monoidal under the internal smash product $\wedge$. An orthogonal spectrum is a right module over the sphere $\mathcal{J}$ -space $S: V \mapsto S^V$. We write $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{S}$ for the category of orthogonal spectra.

Let $\mathcal{J}_f$ be the category of finite dimensional real inner-product spaces V and linear isometries $V \longrightarrow W$ (not necessarily isomorphisms). Fix a universe U of real inner product spaces. Let $\mathcal{J}_f^U$ be the category of finite dimensional subspaces $V \subset U$ with morphisms the inclusions $V \subset W$ within U . Notice that there is at most one morphism between any two objects. The category $\mathcal{J}_f$ is the diagram shape for infinite loop spaces coming from orthogonal spectra, and just as in the symmetric setting, $\mathcal{J}_f$ is the smallest symmetric monoidal category containing both $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{J}_f^U$.

There is an adjunction

$$\mathcal{J}_f \mathcal{U} \xrightleftharpoons[\Omega^\bullet]{\Sigma^\bullet} \mathcal{J}\mathcal{S},$$

defined the same way as for symmetric spectra. Given an $\mathcal{J}_f$ -space X , $\Sigma^\bullet X$ is the orthogonal spectrum given by $(\Sigma^\bullet X)_V = X_V \wedge S^V$. Given an orthogonal

spectrum E , the $\mathcal{I}_f$ -space $\Omega^\bullet E$ is defined on objects by $(\Omega^\bullet E)(V) = \Omega^V E_V$ and on morphisms so that an isometry $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ induces the map $\varphi_*: \Omega^V E_V \rightarrow \Omega^W E_W$ that sends $\gamma \in \Omega^V E_V$ to:

$$S^W \xrightarrow{\text{id} \wedge \varphi^{-1}} S^{W-\varphi(V)} \wedge S^V \xrightarrow{\text{id} \wedge \gamma} S^{W-\varphi(V)} \wedge E_V \xrightarrow{\text{id} \wedge E(\varphi)} S^{W-\varphi(V)} \wedge E_{\varphi(V)} \xrightarrow{\sigma} E_W.$$

Notice that to define $E(\varphi)$, we must consider φ as a linear isometric isomorphism onto its image $\varphi(V)$ so that it is a morphism in $\mathcal{I}$.

From now on, we will work with unbased $\mathcal{I}_f$ -spaces, and we have the composite adjunction:

$$\mathcal{I}_f \mathcal{U} \xrightleftharpoons{(-)_+} \mathcal{I}_f \mathcal{T} \xrightleftharpoons[\Omega^\bullet]{\Sigma^\bullet} \mathcal{I} \mathcal{S},$$

We now combine the spaces $\Omega^V E_V$ together, but here we diverge slightly from the symmetric setting. The analog of $\mathbb{I}$ is $\mathcal{I}_f$, but we will *not* take homotopy colimits over this category. This would give the wrong homotopy type. Instead, we take homotopy colimits over the subcategory $\mathcal{I}_f^U$, which is the analog of $\mathbb{J}$. See Remark 15.4 for a conceptual explanation of this difference.

Definition 3.1. Given an orthogonal spectrum E , let $\Omega^\infty E = \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{I}_f^U} \Omega^\bullet E$.

Remark 3.2. In the case of an orthogonal spectrum E , we do have a canonical isomorphism $\pi_k \Omega^\infty E \cong \pi_k E$, for $k \geq 0$. This is because π_* -isomorphisms and stable equivalences coincide for orthogonal spectra. Put another way, for both symmetric spectra and orthogonal spectra, $\Omega^\infty E$ is the zeroth space of a detection functor, being the zeroth space of a fibrant replacement, but only for orthogonal spectra does a fibrant replacement always have the same homotopy groups as the original spectrum.

Theorem 14.3 gives the stable model structure on $\mathcal{I}_f$ -spaces:

Theorem 3.3. *There is a compactly generated topological model structure on $\mathcal{I}_f$ -spaces, called the stable model structure, with weak equivalences the maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that the induced map of homotopy colimits*

$$f_*: \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{I}_f^U} X \rightarrow \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{I}_f^U} Y$$

is a weak equivalence of spaces. The fibrations are level fibrations $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that for every morphism $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ of $\mathcal{I}_f$, the induced map

$$X_\varphi \times f_V: X_V \rightarrow X_W \times_{Y_W} Y_V$$

is a weak equivalence of spaces. In particular, the fibrant objects are the $\mathcal{I}_f$ -spaces X such that $X_\varphi: X_V \rightarrow X_W$ is a weak equivalence for every morphism $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ of $\mathcal{I}_f$.

The functor $\Omega^\bullet$ participates in a Quillen adjunction with the category of orthogonal spectra, considered under the stable model structure:

Proposition 3.4. $(\Sigma_+^\bullet, \Omega^\bullet)$ is a Quillen adjunction between $\mathcal{I}_f$ -spaces and orthogonal spectra.

The proof is essentially identical to the proof of Proposition 2.4 and will not be repeated.

4. FUNCTORS WITH CARTESIAN PRODUCT AND DIAGRAM RING SPECTRA

We now consider multiplicative properties of the functor $\Omega^\bullet$ applied to diagram ring spectra. Starting in full generality, let $(\mathcal{D}, \oplus, 0)$ be a small symmetric monoidal category. The category of unbased $\mathcal{D}$ -spaces $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}$ is symmetric monoidal under the internal cartesian product $\boxtimes$. Given $\mathcal{D}$ -spaces X and Y , $X \boxtimes Y$ is defined as the left Kan extension of the $(\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D})$ -space $X \times Y$ along $\oplus: \mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and its universal property is described by the adjunction:

$$\mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}(X \boxtimes Y, Z) \cong (\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D})\mathcal{U}(X \times Y, Z \circ \oplus).$$

The unit of $\boxtimes$ is the trivial $\mathcal{D}$ -space $*$. We will call a monoid in $\mathcal{D}$ -spaces under $\boxtimes$ a *functor with cartesian product*, abbreviated to $\mathcal{D}$ -FCP. By the above adjunction, an FCP X can be described internally in terms of an associative and unital map $X \boxtimes X \longrightarrow X$ or externally in terms of an associative and unital natural transformation $X_m \times X_n \longrightarrow X_{m+n}$.

Specializing to $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}_f$, we have a symmetric monoidal product $\boxtimes$ on $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces and $\mathcal{J}_f$ -spaces.

Lemma 4.1. *For both symmetric and orthogonal spectra, the functor $\Omega^\bullet$ is lax symmetric monoidal.*

Proof. The functor $\Sigma_+^\bullet$ is strong monoidal by inspection. As with any right adjoint of a strong monoidal functor, $\Omega^\bullet$ is lax monoidal with structure maps

$$\Omega^\bullet E \boxtimes \Omega^\bullet E' \longrightarrow \Omega^\bullet(E \wedge E') \quad \text{and} \quad * \longrightarrow \Omega^\bullet(S)$$

defined to be the adjuncts of:

$$\Sigma_+^\bullet(\Omega^\bullet E \boxtimes \Omega^\bullet E') \xrightarrow{\cong} \Sigma_+^\bullet \Omega^\bullet E \wedge \Sigma_+^\bullet \Omega^\bullet E' \xrightarrow{\epsilon \wedge \epsilon} E \wedge E'$$

and

$$\Sigma_+^\bullet(*) \xrightarrow{\cong} S.$$

Here ϵ is the counit of the $(\Sigma_+^\bullet, \Omega^\bullet)$ adjunction and the isomorphisms are the strong monoidal structure maps for $\Sigma_+^\bullet$. $\square$

Lax symmetric monoidal functors preserve monoids and commutative monoids, so we immediately have:

Proposition 4.2. *If R is a symmetric ring spectrum, then $\Omega^\bullet R$ is an $\mathbb{I}$ -FCP. If R is an orthogonal ring spectrum, then $\Omega^\bullet R$ is an $\mathcal{J}_f$ -FCP. If R is commutative, then $\Omega^\bullet R$ is commutative.*

The point of using diagram spaces instead of ordinary spaces is that commutative monoids in diagram spaces (FCPs) model E_∞ spaces, instead of commutative topological monoids. We now prove this fact for $\mathbb{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}_f$ spaces, and emphasize that here the two theories differ considerably.

For the following proposition, recall that a permutative category is a symmetric monoidal category whose unit and associativity isomorphisms are identities. The categories $\mathbb{I}$ and $\mathcal{J}_f$ are both permutative.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $(\mathcal{D}, \oplus, 0)$ be a small permutative category and let X be a commutative $\mathcal{D}$ -FCP. Then $\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} X$ is an E_∞ space, naturally in X . In particular, for a commutative symmetric ring spectrum R , $\Omega^\infty R = \text{hocolim}_{\mathbb{I}} R$ is an E_∞ -space.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D}[X]$ be the translation category of X : $\mathcal{D}[X]$ has objects $(\mathbf{m}, x)$, where $\mathbf{m} \in \text{ob } \mathcal{D}$ and $x \in X_m$, and morphisms $\varphi: (\mathbf{m}, x) \longrightarrow (\mathbf{n}, y)$ given by a morphism $\varphi: \mathbf{m} \longrightarrow \mathbf{n}$ in $\mathcal{D}$ such that $\varphi_*: X_m \longrightarrow X_n$ satisfies $\varphi_*(x) = y$. A comparison of definitions shows that there is an isomorphism of simplicial spaces between the nerve $N\mathcal{D}[X]$ and the bar construction $B_*(\mathcal{D}, X)$. Taking geometric realizations, the classifying space $B\mathcal{D}[X]$ is canonically isomorphic to $\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} X$. Thus to prove the proposition, it suffices to show that $B\mathcal{D}[X]$ is an E_∞ space.

For this, we use the fact that the classifying space of a permutative category is naturally an E_∞ space [16, 4.9]. Thus we only need to give $\mathcal{D}[X]$ the structure of a permutative category.

We define the monoidal product $\square$ of two objects of $\mathcal{D}[X]$ by:

$$(\mathbf{m}, x) \square (\mathbf{n}, y) = (\mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{n}, \mu_{m,n}(x, y)),$$

where $\mu_{m,n}: X_m \times X_n \longrightarrow X_{m \oplus n}$ is given by the FCP structure of X . Thus defined, $\square$ is a bifunctor $\mathcal{D}[X] \times \mathcal{D}[X] \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}[X]$ because $\mathcal{D}$ is monoidal under $\oplus$ and μ is a natural transformation. A strict unit for $\square$ is given by $(\mathbf{0}, 1)$, where $1 \in X_0$ is the unit point of the FCP X . Similarly, strict associativity follows from the strict associativity of $\oplus$ on $\mathcal{D}$ and the (strict) associativity of the FCP X . Finally, the symmetry for $\square$ comes from the symmetry for $\mathcal{D}$ and the fact that X is a commutative FCP. $\square$

Remark 4.4. The E_∞ operad which canonically acts on the classifying space of a permutative category is the (topological) Barratt-Eccles operad $E\Sigma$ with $E\Sigma(j) = E\Sigma_j$, the usual total space of the universal Σ_j -bundle. Indeed, the proof of [16, 4.9] displays an action of a categorical version of $E\Sigma$ on every permutative category $\mathcal{C}$, then taking the classifying space of the categorical operad and the category $\mathcal{C}$ to get an action of $E\Sigma$ on $B\mathcal{C}$.

We now arrive at the analogous result for orthogonal spectra (it is essentially [15, 1.6 and 1.9]). Let $\mathcal{L}$ denote the linear isometries operad for the fixed universe U . $\mathcal{L}$ is also an E_∞ operad.

Proposition 4.5. *Let X be a commutative $\mathcal{I}_f$ -FCP. Then $\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{I}_f^U} X$ is an $\mathcal{L}$ -space, naturally in X . In particular, for a commutative orthogonal ring spectrum R , $\Omega^\infty R$ is an $\mathcal{L}$ -space.*

Proof. The commutative FCP structure of X can be expressed externally as a natural transformation $\mu: X^j \longrightarrow X \circ \oplus$ of functors $(\mathcal{I}_f^U)^j \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}$. A linear isometry $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}(j)$ gives rise to a functor $\gamma_*: (\mathcal{I}_f^U)^j \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_f^U$ defined on objects by $\gamma_*(V_1, \dots, V_j) = \gamma(V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_j)$. The action of γ on $\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{I}_f^U} X$ is:

$$(\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{I}_f^U} X)^j \cong \text{hocolim}_{(\mathcal{I}_f^U)^j} X^j \xrightarrow{\mu} \text{hocolim}_{(\mathcal{I}_f^U)^j} (X \circ \oplus) \xrightarrow{\gamma_*} \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{I}_f^U} X.$$

$\square$

Remark 4.6. Proposition 4.3 does apply to $\mathcal{I}_f$ -spaces, but that is not useful: it gives an E_∞ space structure on $\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{I}_f} X$, while the underlying space of an $\mathcal{I}_f$ -space is $\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{I}_f^U} X$. On the other hand, Proposition 4.3 does not apply to $\mathcal{I}_f^U$ because it is not symmetric monoidal. The point is that multiplicative structure

is captured by different operads requiring different proofs: the topological Barratt-Eccles operad $E\Sigma$ in the symmetric setting and the linear isometries operad $\mathcal{L}$ in the orthogonal setting.

5. COMPARING LEFT AND RIGHT QUILLEN FUNCTORS

Having set up the infinite loop space theory of diagram spectra, we are ready to start proving the comparison results. This brief section is an overview of the method of comparison that we will use. It is given in full generality, and is an easy observation about conjugation of adjoint functors. However, it is really a special case of a general method for dealing with composites of left and right derived functors (see [33]).

Let $(f, g): \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ and $(h, k): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be two Quillen adjunctions of model categories. Further suppose that there are functors $a: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and $b: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ that preserve fibrant objects and weak equivalences of fibrant objects (for example, a and b might be right Quillen functors). This situation can be pictured in the following diagram:

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \xleftarrow{g} \end{array} & \mathcal{B} \\ a \downarrow & & \downarrow b \\ \mathcal{C} & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{h} \\ \xleftarrow{k} \end{array} & \mathcal{D} \end{array}$$

In the applications to follow, it will be easy to find a natural equivalence of composites $ag \simeq kb$. We wish to understand how to transfer this equivalence to an equivalence in the other direction: $ha \simeq bf$. In general, this will not be possible. However, when (f, g) and (h, k) are Quillen equivalences, we can make a comparison of derived functors.

As left Quillen adjoints, f and h have left derived functors $\mathbf{L}f: \mathrm{ho} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{ho} \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathbf{L}h: \mathrm{ho} \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{ho} \mathcal{D}$. Similarly, g and k have right derived functors $\mathbf{R}g: \mathrm{ho} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{ho} \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathbf{R}k: \mathrm{ho} \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathrm{ho} \mathcal{C}$. Since a and b preserve fibrant objects and weak equivalences of fibrant objects, they also have right derived functors $\mathbf{R}a$ and $\mathbf{R}b$, represented by aR and bR , respectively.

Proposition 5.2. *Suppose that (f, g) and (h, k) are Quillen equivalences in the situation pictured in diagram (5.1). Further suppose that there is a natural equivalence of functors $ag \simeq kb$. Then there is an isomorphism of composites of derived functors: $\mathbf{L}h\mathbf{R}a \cong \mathbf{R}b\mathbf{L}f$.*

Proof. Since right derived functors compose to give right derived functors, we have an isomorphism $\mathbf{R}a\mathbf{R}g \cong \mathbf{R}(ag) \cong \mathbf{R}(kb) \cong \mathbf{R}k\mathbf{R}b$. The units and counits of the derived adjunctions $(\mathbf{L}f, \mathbf{R}g)$ and $(\mathbf{L}h, \mathbf{R}k)$ are isomorphisms, yielding the desired isomorphism:

$$\mathbf{L}h\mathbf{R}a \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L}h\mathbf{R}a\eta} \mathbf{L}h\mathbf{R}a\mathbf{R}g\mathbf{L}f \cong \mathbf{L}h\mathbf{R}k\mathbf{R}b\mathbf{L}f \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbf{R}b\mathbf{L}f.$$

□

6. COMPARISON OF INFINITE LOOP SPACES OF DIAGRAM SPECTRA

To compare symmetric spectra and orthogonal spectra, one uses the embedding of diagram categories $\Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ defined by $\mathbf{n} \mapsto \mathbf{R}^n$. This induces a forgetful functor

$\mathbb{U}: \mathcal{I}\mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \Sigma\mathcal{S}$ with a left adjoint $\mathbb{P}: \Sigma\mathcal{S} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}\mathcal{S}$ defined by left Kan extension. Similarly, the embedding $\mathbb{I} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_f$ induces a forgetful functor $\mathbb{U}: \mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}\mathcal{U}$ with left adjoint $\mathbb{P}: \mathbb{I}\mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U}$ defined by left Kan extension. We recall the comparison results for diagram spectra, then state the analogous results for $\mathbb{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}_f$ spaces.

Theorem 6.1. [13] *The adjunction $(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{U}): \Sigma\mathcal{S} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{I}\mathcal{S}$ gives a Quillen equivalence between the categories of symmetric spectra and orthogonal spectra, both considered with the stable model structure. The adjunction restricted to commutative monoids $(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{U}): \mathbf{C}\Sigma\mathcal{S} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{S}$ is a Quillen equivalence between the categories of commutative symmetric ring spectra and commutative orthogonal ring spectra, both considered with the positive stable model structure.*

The following result for spaces will be proved as Theorem 15.5 and Theorem 16.10:

Theorem 6.2. *The adjunction $(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{U}): \mathbb{I}\mathcal{U} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U}$ gives a Quillen equivalence between the categories of $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces and $\mathcal{I}_f$ -spaces, both considered with the stable model structure. The adjunction restricted to commutative monoids $(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{U}): \mathbf{C}\mathbb{I}\mathcal{U} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U}$ is a Quillen equivalence between the categories of commutative $\mathbb{I}$ -FCPs and commutative $\mathcal{I}_f$ -FCPs, both considered with the positive stable model structure.*

The infinite loop space functors $\Omega^\bullet$ fit into the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma\mathcal{S} & \xrightleftharpoons[\mathbb{U}]{\mathbb{P}} & \mathcal{I}\mathcal{S} \\ \Omega^\bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow \Omega^\bullet \\ \mathbb{I}\mathcal{U} & \xrightleftharpoons[\mathbb{U}]{\mathbb{P}} & \mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U} \end{array}$$

Our goal is to show that this diagram commutes in both directions, up to weak equivalence. For the direction involving the prolongation functors, we must compose left and right Quillen functors. This requires descending to homotopy categories and derived functors, as discussed in §5.

Proposition 6.3. *The following diagrams commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma\mathcal{S} & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{U}} & \mathcal{I}\mathcal{S} \\ \Omega^\bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow \Omega^\bullet \\ \mathbb{I}\mathcal{U} & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{U}} & \mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{ho} \Sigma\mathcal{S} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L}\mathbb{P}} & \mathrm{ho} \mathcal{I}\mathcal{S} \\ \mathbf{R}\Omega^\bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{R}\Omega^\bullet \\ \mathrm{ho} \mathbb{I}\mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L}\mathbb{P}} & \mathrm{ho} \mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U} \end{array}$$

Proof. The commutativity of the first diagram is immediate from the definitions. The adjunctions are Quillen equivalences, and the functors $\Omega^\bullet$ are right Quillen by Propositions 2.4 and 3.4. Hence we are in the situation described in §5, so the second diagram commutes by Proposition 5.2. $\square$

We also make the comparison of $\Omega^\bullet$ for commutative ring spectra. We first record the following observation:

Proposition 6.4. *For symmetric and orthogonal commutative ring spectra, $(\Sigma_+^\bullet, \Omega^\bullet)$ is a Quillen adjunction.*

Proof. This goes just as for the additive case in Proposition 2.4, but using the positive stable model structure on ring spectra and FCPs. $\square$

In particular, Proposition 5.2 applies and we have:

Proposition 6.5. *The following diagrams commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{C}\Sigma\mathcal{S} & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{U}} & \mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{S} \\
 \Omega^\bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow \Omega^\bullet \\
 \mathbf{C}\mathbb{I}\mathcal{U} & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{U}} & \mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U}
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathrm{ho}\mathbf{C}\Sigma\mathcal{S} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L}\mathbb{P}} & \mathrm{ho}\mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{S} \\
 \mathbf{R}\Omega^\bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{R}\Omega^\bullet \\
 \mathrm{ho}\mathbf{C}\mathbb{I}\mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L}\mathbb{P}} & \mathrm{ho}\mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U}
 \end{array}$$

Proof. The first diagram is immediate and the second follows from Proposition 5.2. $\square$

7. INFINITE LOOP SPACE THEORY OF S -MODULES

We recall what we need of the theory of $\mathbb{L}$ -spectra and S -modules, referring to [6] as our primary source (see also [11, 15, 19]). By a spectrum we mean a (LMS) spectrum E indexed on a universe U of real inner product spaces such that the structure maps $E_V \rightarrow \Omega^{W-V} E_W$ are homeomorphisms, as in [11]. We denote the category of spectra by $\mathcal{S}$. There is a monad $\mathbb{L}$ on spectra defined using the twisted half-smash product:

$$\mathbb{L}E = \mathcal{S}(1) \ltimes E.$$

An $\mathbb{L}$ -spectrum is an algebra for the monad $\mathbb{L}$ and we let $\mathcal{S}[\mathbb{L}]$ denote the category of $\mathbb{L}$ -spectra. There is a smash product $\wedge_{\mathcal{S}}$ on $\mathcal{S}[\mathbb{L}]$ satisfying coherent associativity conditions. S -modules in the sense of [6] are the $\mathbb{L}$ -spectra E for which the sphere spectrum acts as unit, meaning that the natural weak equivalence $S \wedge_{\mathcal{S}} E \rightarrow E$ is an isomorphism. Denote the category of S -modules by $\mathcal{M}_S$. The functor $S \wedge_{\mathcal{S}} -: \mathcal{S}[\mathbb{L}] \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_S$ is right adjoint to the forgetful functor $l: \mathcal{M}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{S}[\mathbb{L}]$ and is left adjoint to the function $\mathcal{L}$ -spectrum functor $F_{\mathcal{S}}(S, -): \mathcal{M}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{S}[\mathbb{L}]$. The infinite loop space of an $\mathbb{L}$ -spectrum is the zeroth space of the underlying spectrum: $\Omega^\infty E = E_0$.

We wish to define the infinite loop space of an S -module. Since the forgetful functor $l: \mathcal{M}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{S}[\mathbb{L}]$ is a left adjoint and the infinite loop space functor Ω^∞ is right adjoint to the suspension spectrum functor Σ^∞ , taking the composition of the forgetful functor and Ω^∞ is structurally the wrong procedure. Instead, we pass through the mirror image of the category of S -modules. This is the category $\mathcal{M}^S$ of $\mathbb{L}$ -spectra for which S is a strict counit, meaning that the natural map $E \rightarrow F_{\mathcal{S}}(S, E)$ is an isomorphism. The forgetful functor r from mirror image S -modules to $\mathbb{L}$ -spectra has left adjoint $E \mapsto F_{\mathcal{S}}(S, E)$. Furthermore, the resulting adjunction $(S \wedge_{\mathcal{S}} -, F_{\mathcal{S}}(S, -)): \mathcal{M}^S \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_S$ is an equivalence of categories. We summarize this series of adjunctions in the following diagram, with left adjoints on top:

$$\mathcal{S}[\mathbb{L}] \xrightleftharpoons[r]{F_{\mathcal{S}}(S, -)} \mathcal{M}^S \xrightleftharpoons[F_{\mathcal{S}}(S, -)]{S \wedge_{\mathcal{S}} -} \mathcal{M}_S$$

An E_∞ ring spectrum is an $\mathbb{L}$ -spectrum R with an action of the linear isometries operad $\mathcal{L}$. Equivalently, R is an algebra for the monad $\mathbf{C}$ on $\mathbb{L}$ -spectra defined by:

$$\mathbf{C}E = \bigvee_{j \geq 0} E^{\wedge j} / \Sigma_j,$$

where $E^{\wedge j}$ is the j -fold smash product $\wedge_{\mathcal{S}}$ of E . Similarly, a commutative S -algebra is an algebra for the monad $\mathbf{C}$ restricted to S -modules. To avoid confusion,

be warned that the monad $\mathbf{C}$ is denoted by $\mathbb{P}$ in [6] and $\mathbb{C}$ is used for a different monad there. We use the notation $\mathbf{C}$ to conform with our general usage of $\mathbf{C}$ for the free commutative monoid monad in symmetric monoidal categories.

There is a symmetric monoidal category of spaces whose commutative monoids are $\mathcal{L}$ -spaces, and it is constructed in direct analogy with S -modules. This was carried out first in [3]. We will outline the basic definitions, referring to [4] and [1] for full details.

Let $\mathbb{L}$ be the monad on unbased spaces defined by $\mathbb{L}X = \mathcal{L}(1) \times X$. An algebra for $\mathbb{L}$ is called an $\mathbb{L}$ -space and the category of $\mathbb{L}$ -spaces is denoted by $\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}]$. There is a weak symmetric monoidal product $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{L}}$ on $\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}]$ defined as the coequalizer:

$$\mathcal{L}(2) \times \mathcal{L}(1) \times \mathcal{L}(1) \times X \times Y \rightrightarrows \mathcal{L}(2) \times X \times Y \longrightarrow X \boxtimes_{\mathcal{L}} Y.$$

An $\mathbb{L}$ -space X is a $*$ -module if the canonical weak equivalence $\lambda: * \boxtimes_{\mathcal{L}} X \longrightarrow X$ is an isomorphism. The full subcategory of $\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}]$ consisting of $*$ -modules is denoted by $\mathcal{M}_*$. The functor $* \boxtimes_{\mathcal{L}} -: \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_*$ is right adjoint to the forgetful functor $l: \mathcal{M}_* \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}]$ and is left adjoint to the function $\mathcal{L}$ -space functor $F_{\mathcal{L}}(*, -): \mathcal{M}_* \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}]$.

There is a mirror image category $\mathcal{M}^*$ to the category of $*$ -modules. Its objects are the $\mathbb{L}$ -spaces for which the natural map $X \longrightarrow F_{\mathcal{L}}(*, X)$ is an isomorphism. We have adjunctions and an equivalence of categories between $\mathcal{M}^*$ and $\mathcal{M}_*$ just as for S -modules:

$$\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}] \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F_{\mathcal{L}}(*, -)} \\ \xleftarrow{r} \end{array} \mathcal{M}^* \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{* \boxtimes_{\mathcal{L}} -} \\ \xrightarrow{F_{\mathcal{L}}(*, -)} \end{array} \mathcal{M}_*$$

A commutative monoid in the category of $\mathbb{L}$ -spaces is an algebra for the monad:

$$\mathbf{C}X = \coprod_{j \geq 0} X^{\boxtimes j} / \Sigma_j.$$

Here $\boxtimes$ denotes $\boxtimes_{\mathcal{L}}$. Commutative monoids in $\mathbb{L}$ -spaces are equivalent to $\mathcal{L}$ -spaces, meaning algebras for the linear isometries operad in unbased spaces.

Theorem 7.1. [4] *There is a compactly generated model structure on the category of $\mathbb{L}$ -spaces with weak equivalences and fibrations created by the forgetful functor to spaces. In particular, all objects are fibrant. Similarly, there is a compactly generated model structure on $*$ -modules with weak equivalences and fibrations created by the forgetful functor to spaces. Both of these model categories are Quillen equivalent to Quillen's original model structure on spaces via the forgetful functors.*

The infinite loop space of an $\mathbb{L}$ -spectrum is naturally an $\mathbb{L}$ -space. In fact, we may lift the $(\Sigma^\infty, \Omega^\infty)$ adjunction to $\mathbb{L}$ -spectra and $\mathbb{L}$ -spaces:

Proposition 7.2. [1, 5.16] *The composable pair of Quillen adjunctions*

$$\mathcal{U} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(-)_+} \\ \xleftarrow{\Omega^\infty} \end{array} \mathcal{T} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\Sigma^\infty} \\ \xleftarrow{\Omega^\infty} \end{array} \mathcal{S}$$

restricts to a pair of Quillen adjunctions between $\mathbb{L}$ -spaces and $\mathbb{L}$ -spectra:

$$\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}] \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(-)_+} \\ \xleftarrow{\Omega_{\mathbb{L}}^\infty} \end{array} \mathcal{T}[\mathbb{L}] \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\Sigma_{\mathbb{L}}^\infty} \\ \xleftarrow{\Omega_{\mathbb{L}}^\infty} \end{array} \mathcal{S}[\mathbb{L}]$$

We denote the composite of left adjoints by $\Sigma_{\mathbb{L}+}^\infty$.

Remark 7.3. The suspension spectrum $\Sigma^\infty X$ of an arbitrary based space X also carries an $\mathbb{L}$ -spectrum structure, given by collapsing $\mathcal{L}(1)$ to a point:

$$\mathcal{L}(1) \ltimes \Sigma^\infty X \cong \Sigma^\infty(\mathcal{L}(1)_+ \wedge X) \longrightarrow \Sigma^\infty(S^0 \wedge X) \cong \Sigma^\infty X.$$

To avoid confusion with this trivial $\mathbb{L}$ -spectrum structure, we use the notation $\Sigma_{\mathbb{L}}^\infty X$ for the $\mathbb{L}$ -spectrum associated to a (based) $\mathbb{L}$ -space. The structure map for $\Sigma_{\mathbb{L}}^\infty X$ is induced by the structure map for X :

$$\mathcal{L}(1) \ltimes \Sigma^\infty X \cong \Sigma^\infty(\mathcal{L}(1)_+ \wedge X) \longrightarrow \Sigma^\infty X.$$

Since the unit condition for $*$ -modules and S -modules is defined in terms of the left adjoints $* \boxtimes_{\mathcal{L}} -$ and $S \wedge_{\mathcal{L}} -$, the functor $\Sigma_{\mathbb{L}+}^\infty$ restricts to a functor $\mathcal{M}_* \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_S$. Dually, $\Omega_{\mathbb{L}}^\infty$ restricts to a functor of mirror image categories $\Omega_{\mathbb{L}}^\infty : \mathcal{M}^S \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^*$.

Definition 7.4. Define the infinite loop $*$ -module $\Omega_S^\infty M$ of an S -module M by:

$$\Omega_S^\infty M = * \boxtimes_{\mathcal{L}} \Omega_{\mathbb{L}}^\infty F_{\mathcal{L}}(S, M).$$

A series of adjunctions proves:

Lemma 7.5. *The left adjoint of $\Omega_S^\infty : \mathcal{M}_S \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_*$ is $\Sigma_{\mathbb{L}+}^\infty : \mathcal{M}_* \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_S$.*

Remark 7.6. The functor $\Omega_{\mathbb{L}}^\infty : \mathcal{M}^S \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}^*$ is right adjoint to the functor $X \mapsto F_{\mathcal{L}}(S, \Sigma_{\mathbb{L}}^\infty(* \boxtimes_{\mathcal{L}} X))$. We avoid the issue of notation for this left adjoint as we will have no need of it.

8. THE EQUIVALENCE OF $\mathcal{I}_f$ -SPACES AND $*$ -MODULES

A Quillen equivalence between orthogonal spectra and S -modules is constructed in [12]. We will construct an analogous Quillen equivalence between $\mathcal{I}_f$ -spaces and $*$ -modules. Since $*$ -modules are equivalent to $\mathbb{L}$ -spaces, it suffices to prove that $\mathcal{I}_f$ -spaces are equivalent to $\mathbb{L}$ -spaces, which is accomplished in Theorem 8.11. The equivalence restricts to a Quillen equivalence of $\mathcal{I}_f$ -FCPs and $\mathcal{L}$ -spaces, giving two equivalent approaches to modeling infinite loop spaces. The following section will use this result to show that the infinite loop space functors for orthogonal spectra and S -modules agree. We first summarize the Quillen equivalence of orthogonal spectra and S -modules.

Theorem 8.1. [12, 1.1, 1.5] *There is a Quillen equivalence of orthogonal spectra and $\mathbb{L}$ -spectra:*

$$\mathcal{I}\mathcal{S} \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{N}} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathbb{N}^\#} \end{matrix} \mathcal{S}[\mathbb{L}]$$

The functor $\mathbb{N}$ is strong monoidal and $\mathbb{N}^\#$ is lax monoidal. The restricted adjunction of commutative orthogonal ring spectra and E_∞ ring spectra

$$\mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{S} \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{N}} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathbb{N}^\#} \end{matrix} \mathcal{S}[\mathcal{L}]$$

is also a Quillen equivalence. Similarly, there is a Quillen equivalence of orthogonal spectra and S -modules

$$\mathcal{I}\mathcal{S} \begin{matrix} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{N}_S} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathbb{N}_S^\#} \end{matrix} \mathcal{M}_S$$

that restricts to a Quillen equivalence of commutative orthogonal ring spectra and commutative S -algebras:

$$\mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{S} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{N}_S} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathbb{N}_S^\#} \end{array} \mathbf{C}\mathcal{M}_S$$

The functors we have denoted by $\mathbb{N}_S$ and $\mathbb{N}_S^\#$ are called $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{N}^\#$ in [12]. The cited source only contains the comparison of orthogonal spectra and S -modules, but the equivalence of orthogonal spectra and $\mathbb{L}$ -spectra follows by the same arguments, using the model structure for $\mathbb{L}$ -spectra from [6].

We now make the central definition that will enable the comparison between $\mathcal{I}_f$ -spaces and $\mathbb{L}$ -spaces. Let $\mathcal{I}_c$ be the category of finite and countably infinite dimensional real inner product spaces and their linear isometries. Notice that $\mathcal{I}_c(V, U) = \operatorname{colim}_{W \in \mathcal{I}_f^U} \mathcal{I}_f(V, W)$.

Definition 8.2. The space of linear isometries $\mathcal{I}_c(V, U)$ is an $\mathbb{L}$ -space with the action given by composition. Define $\mathbb{Q}^*: \mathcal{I}_f^{\operatorname{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}]$ by:

$$\mathbb{Q}^*(V) = \mathcal{I}_c(V, U).$$

A calculation of coequalizers proves:

Lemma 8.3. *The functor $\mathbb{Q}^*$ is strong symmetric monoidal:*

$$\mathbb{Q}^*(V \oplus W) \cong \mathbb{Q}^*(V) \boxtimes_{\mathcal{L}} \mathbb{Q}^*(W) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{Q}^*(0) \cong *.$$

The general theory of [12, §1.2] now applies to the functor $\mathbb{Q}^*$. Define functors $\mathbb{Q}: \mathcal{I}_f \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}]$ and $\mathbb{Q}^\#: \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}] \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_f \mathcal{U}$ by:

$$\mathbb{Q}X = \mathbb{Q}^* \otimes_{\mathcal{I}_f} X \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathbb{Q}^\# M)(V) = \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathbb{Q}^*(V), M).$$

Here $- \otimes_{\mathcal{L}} -$ denotes the tensor product of functors (an enriched coend). See [8] for a very readable account of the basic case where both functors land in topological spaces. For a more abstract setting and the relation to bar constructions, see [32]. We will freely use basic properties of this construction as contained in these sources. The results of [12, §1.2] give us:

Proposition 8.4. *The functor $\mathbb{Q}$ is left adjoint to $\mathbb{Q}^\#$:*

$$\mathcal{I}_f \mathcal{U} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Q}} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathbb{Q}^\#} \end{array} \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}].$$

Furthermore, $\mathbb{Q}$ is strong symmetric monoidal and $\mathbb{Q}^\#$ is lax symmetric monoidal. Thus $(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}^\#)$ restricts to an adjunction of the categories of commutative monoids in $\mathcal{I}_f \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}]$:

$$\mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}_f \mathcal{U} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Q}} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathbb{Q}^\#} \end{array} \mathcal{U}[\mathcal{L}].$$

The following diagram shows how the adjunction $(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}^\#)$ fits into the infinite loop space theory of orthogonal spectra, $\mathbb{L}$ -spectra and S -modules:

$$(8.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{I}\mathcal{S} & \xrightleftharpoons[\mathbb{N}^\#]{\mathbb{N}} & \mathcal{S}[\mathbb{L}] & \xrightleftharpoons[r]{F_{\mathcal{L}}(S, -)} & \mathcal{M}^S & \xrightleftharpoons[F_{\mathcal{L}}(S, -)]{S \wedge_{\mathcal{L}} -} & \mathcal{M}_S \\ \Omega^\bullet \downarrow & & \Omega_L^\infty \downarrow & & \Omega_L^\infty \downarrow & & \Omega_S^\infty \downarrow \\ \mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U} & \xrightleftharpoons[\mathbb{Q}^\#]{\mathbb{Q}} & \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}] & \xrightleftharpoons[r]{F_{\mathcal{L}}(*, -)} & \mathcal{M}^* & \xrightleftharpoons[F_{\mathcal{L}}(*, -)]{*\boxtimes_{\mathcal{L}} -} & \mathcal{M}_* \end{array}$$

Each pair of arrows is a Quillen adjunction with left adjoint on top. The vertical arrows give the appropriate version of the infinite loop space of each type of spectrum. Each vertical arrow has a left adjoint and these vertical adjunctions are also Quillen adjunctions. The two rightmost squares commute (in both directions), and we will soon prove that the left square commutes up to natural equivalence.

There is a direct construction of a functor $\mathbb{Q}_*: \mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_*$ using the same method as the construction of $\mathbb{Q}$: choosing $\mathbb{Q}^*(V) = *\boxtimes_{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{I}_c(V, U)$ instead of $\mathcal{I}_c(V, U)$ lands in $*$ -modules instead of $\mathbb{L}$ -spaces. The functor $\mathbb{Q}_*$ has a right adjoint $\mathbb{Q}_*^\#$. In fact, this adjunction of $\mathcal{I}_f$ -spaces and $*$ -modules coincides with the composite adjunction in diagram (8.5). The proof is a long series of adjunctions and will be omitted, but works equally well to compare $\mathbb{N}_S$ to $\mathbb{N}$:

Lemma 8.6. *In diagram (8.5), the top horizontal composite $S \wedge_{\mathcal{L}} F_{\mathcal{L}}(S, \mathbb{N}-)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathbb{N}_S: \mathcal{I}\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_S$. Hence its right adjoint $\mathbb{N}^\# F_{\mathcal{L}}(S, -)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathbb{N}_S^\#$. The bottom horizontal composite $* \boxtimes_{\mathcal{L}} F_{\mathcal{L}}(*, \mathbb{Q}-)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}_*$ and its right adjoint $\mathbb{Q}^\# F_{\mathcal{L}}(*, -)$ is naturally isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}_*^\#$.*

It is a reassuring and crucial fact that our construction of an $\mathcal{L}$ -space from an $\mathcal{I}_f$ -FCP agrees with the original colimit construction given in [15]:

Proposition 8.7. *Let X be an $\mathcal{I}_f$ -space. Then there is a natural isomorphism $\mathbb{Q}X \cong \text{colim}_{\mathcal{I}_f^U} X$. If X is a commutative $\mathcal{I}_f$ -FCP then this is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{L}$ -spaces.*

Proof. We may write $\mathcal{I}_c(V, U) = \text{colim}_{W \in \mathcal{I}_f^U} \mathcal{I}_f(V, W)$ as the coend $* \otimes_{\mathcal{I}_f^U} \mathcal{I}_f(V, -)$. The isomorphism follows:

$$\mathbb{Q}(X) = \mathbb{Q}^* \otimes_{\mathcal{I}_f} X = * \otimes_{\mathcal{I}_f^U} \mathcal{I}_f \otimes_{\mathcal{I}_f} X \cong * \otimes_{\mathcal{I}_f^U} X = \text{colim}_{\mathcal{I}_f^U} X.$$

□

We now turn to the homotopical analysis of the functors $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{Q}^\#$.

Lemma 8.8. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a fibration of $\mathbb{L}$ -spaces and let $\gamma: V \rightarrow W$ be a linear isometry.*

(i) γ induces a weak equivalence of mapping spaces:

$$\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{I}_c(V, U), X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{I}_c(W, U), X).$$

(ii) f induces a Serre fibration of mapping spaces:

$$\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{I}_c(W, U), X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{I}_c(W, U), Y).$$

(iii) *The induced map $\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\gamma^*, f)$ of mapping spaces*

$$\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{J}_c(V, U), X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{J}_c(W, U), X) \times_{\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{J}_c(W, U), Y)} \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{J}_c(V, U), Y)$$

is a weak equivalence.

Proof. (i) The restriction map $\gamma^*: \mathcal{J}_c(W, U) \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_c(V, U)$ is a trivializable fiber bundle of CW complexes [6, p.198–199]. A choice of section $s: \mathcal{J}_c(V, U) \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_c(W, U)$ is a Quillen cofibration of spaces, hence a cofibration of $\mathbb{L}$ -spaces. Since s is a weak equivalence of spaces, it is an acyclic cofibration of $\mathbb{L}$ -spaces. The model structure on $\mathbb{L}$ -spaces is topological, so s and the canonical fibration $p: X \longrightarrow *$ induce an acyclic Serre fibration of mapping spaces:

$$\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{J}_c(W, U), X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{J}_c(V, U), X) \times_{\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{J}_c(V, U), *)} \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{J}_c(W, U), *)$$

This weak equivalence is naturally isomorphic to:

$$s^*: \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{J}_c(W, U), X) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{J}_c(V, U), X).$$

Since s is a section of the fiber bundle γ^* , the map induced by γ^* is a weak equivalence of mapping spaces, proving (i).

(ii) $\mathcal{J}_c(W, U)$ may be triangulated as a CW complex, so it is cofibrant as a space, hence as an $\mathbb{L}$ -space. The model structure is topological and f is a fibration, so the induced map of mapping spaces f_* is a Serre fibration.

(iii) In the following diagram, the space marked P is the pullback of its square and we must show that $\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\gamma^*, f)$ is a weak equivalence:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{J}_c(V, U), X) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\gamma^*, f)} & P & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{J}_c(V, U), Y) \\ & \searrow \simeq & \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ & & \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{J}_c(W, U), X) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{J}_c(W, U), Y) \end{array}$$

The maps marked as weak equivalences are so by (i). f_* is a fibration by (ii). Since weak equivalences are preserved by pullback along fibrations, the vertical map from P is also a weak equivalence. Thus $\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\gamma^*, f)$ is a weak equivalence. $\square$

Proposition 8.9. *Let Y be an $\mathbb{L}$ -space. The composite*

$$\epsilon': \operatorname{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}_f^U} \mathbb{Q}^\# Y \longrightarrow \operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{J}_f^U} \mathbb{Q}^\# \cong \mathbb{Q} \mathbb{Q}^\# Y \xrightarrow{\epsilon} Y$$

of the projection to the colimit with the counit of the adjunction is a weak equivalence of $\mathbb{L}$ -spaces. Both maps define monoidal transformations of $\mathbb{L}$ -spaces, so the composite is a weak equivalence of $\mathcal{L}$ -spaces when Y is an $\mathcal{L}$ -space.

Proof. Consider the following commutative diagram, where all colimits and homotopy colimits are taken over $\mathcal{J}_f^U$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \operatorname{hocolim}_V \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{J}_c(V, U), Y) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \operatorname{hocolim}_V \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{J}_c(U, U), Y) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \operatorname{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}_f^U} Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \operatorname{colim}_V \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{J}_c(V, U), Y) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{colim}_V \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{J}_c(U, U), Y) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & Y \end{array}$$

Each vertical arrow is the canonical projection from the homotopy colimit to the colimit. The left horizontal arrows are induced from the inclusions $V \subset U$, which induce a weak equivalence on homotopy colimits by Lemma 8.8.(i). The

right horizontal arrows are the homotopy colimit and colimit of the isomorphism $\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathbb{L}(*), Y) \cong Y$. Since the colimit systems are constant, the right vertical arrow is the projection $B\mathcal{J}_f^U \times Y \longrightarrow Y$. Since $\mathcal{J}_f^U$ has an initial object, $B\mathcal{J}_f^U$ is contractible, so this is a weak equivalence as indicated. Under the identification of $\mathbb{Q}$ with $\text{colim}_{\mathcal{J}_f^U}$, the counit ϵ is the bottom composite. Hence ϵ' is a weak equivalence. $\square$

The projection from the homotopy colimit to the colimit is induced by cofibrant approximation, so the above proposition is the heart of the Quillen equivalence between $\mathcal{J}_f$ -spaces and $\mathbb{L}$ -spaces. To make this idea precise, we need the following lemma, which translates between the model-theoretic approach to homotopy theory and that based on the bar construction.

Lemma 8.10. *Suppose that X is a cofibrant $\mathcal{J}_f$ -space. Then the projection*

$$\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}_f^U} X \longrightarrow \text{colim}_{\mathcal{J}_f^U} X$$

is a weak equivalence.

Proof. The stable model structure is a left Bousfield localization of the level model structure, so X is cofibrant in the level model structure. Restricting diagram categories, X is cofibrant in the level model structure on $\mathcal{J}_f^U$ -spaces. Therefore, the left derived functor of $\text{colim}_{\mathcal{J}_f^U}$ applied to X is computed by $\text{colim}_{\mathcal{J}_f^U} X$. But the homotopy colimit based on the bar construction also gives a left derived functor of $\text{colim}_{\mathcal{J}_f^U}$, so the canonical projection is a weak equivalence. $\square$

We are ready to prove the main result of this section:

Theorem 8.11. *The adjunction $(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}^\#)$ induces a Quillen equivalence between the categories of $\mathcal{J}_f$ -spaces and $\mathbb{L}$ -spaces.*

Proof. By Proposition 8.9, a map $X \longrightarrow Y$ of $\mathbb{L}$ -spaces is a weak equivalence if and only if the induced map of homotopy colimits

$$\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}_f^U} \mathbb{Q}^\# X \longrightarrow \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}_f^U} \mathbb{Q}^\# Y$$

is a weak equivalence. Hence $\mathbb{Q}^\#$ preserves weak equivalences. To prove that $(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}^\#)$ is a Quillen adjunction, it suffices to prove that $\mathbb{Q}^\#$ preserves fibrations. Suppose that $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ is a fibration of $\mathbb{L}$ -spaces. By the description of fibrations of $\mathcal{J}_f$ -spaces in Theorem 3.3, we need to prove that $\mathbb{Q}^\# f$ is a level fibration and that for every inclusion $i: V \longrightarrow W$ in $\mathcal{J}_f^U$, the induced map

$$\mathbb{Q}^\# X(V) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\# X(W) \times_{\mathbb{Q}^\# Y(W)} \mathbb{Q}^\# Y(V)$$

is a weak equivalence. By definition, this is the map $\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](i^*, f)$ of mapping spaces, which is a weak equivalence by Lemma 8.8.(iii). $(\mathbb{Q}^\# f)_W$ is the induced map

$$f_*: \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{J}_c(W, U), X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{J}_c(W, U), Y),$$

which is a weak equivalence by Lemma 8.8.(ii). Hence $\mathbb{Q}^\# f$ is a fibration, and we conclude that $(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}^\#)$ is Quillen.

Now we turn to the Quillen equivalence. Let X be a cofibrant $\mathcal{J}_f$ -space and Y a (necessarily fibrant) $\mathbb{L}$ -space. It suffices to show that a map $f: \mathbb{Q}X \longrightarrow Y$ of

$\mathbb{L}$ -spaces is a weak equivalence if and only if its adjunct $X \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\# Y$ is a stable equivalence of $\mathcal{J}_f$ -spaces. This will follow from the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}_f^U} X & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}_f^U} \mathbb{Q}\mathbb{Q}^\# X & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{Q}f} & \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}_f^U} \mathbb{Q}^\# Y \\
 & \searrow \simeq & \downarrow \epsilon' & & \downarrow \epsilon' \\
 & & \mathbb{Q}X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y
 \end{array}$$

The top composite is the map of homotopy colimits induced from the adjunct $X \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^\# Y$ of f . The diagonal arrow is the projection to the colimit and is a weak equivalence by Lemma 8.10. The maps ϵ' are weak equivalences by Proposition 8.9. Hence the top composite is a weak equivalence if and only if f is a weak equivalence $\square$

The equivalence of $\mathcal{J}_f$ -spaces and $\mathbb{L}$ -spaces descends to commutative monoids:

Theorem 8.12. *Restricting to commutative monoids, $(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}^\#)$ induces a Quillen equivalence between the categories of $\mathcal{J}_f$ -FCPs and $\mathcal{L}$ -spaces.*

This follows from the previous theorem exactly as in the proof of [12, 1.5]. Crucial to the workings of the cited proof is the fact that the quotient map

$$E\Sigma_i \times_{\Sigma_i} X^{\boxtimes i} \longrightarrow X^{\boxtimes i} / \Sigma_i$$

is an equivalence for cofibrant $\mathcal{J}_f$ -spaces and cofibrant $\mathbb{L}$ -spaces. For $\mathbb{L}$ -spaces, this is proved exactly as in [6, III.5.1]. For $\mathcal{J}_f$ -spaces, this is proved as Proposition 16.6, which relies on a reinterpretation of $\mathcal{J}_f$ -spaces as $*$ -modules in the category of $\mathcal{J}$ -spaces (the analogous statement in the symmetric setting says that $\mathbb{L}$ -spaces are the same as $*$ -modules in the category of Σ -spaces).

9. COMPARISON OF INFINITE LOOP SPACES OF ORTHOGONAL SPECTRA AND S -MODULES

We will now use the Quillen equivalence of $\mathcal{J}_f$ -spaces and $\mathbb{L}$ -spaces to prove that the infinite loop space functors of orthogonal spectra, $\mathbb{L}$ -spectra and S -modules agree. We first need a lemma relating the twisted half-smash product to the smash product of spaces.

Let X be a based space. The twisted half-smash product $\mathcal{J}_c(U', U) \ltimes \Sigma^{U'} X$ is naturally an $\mathbb{L}$ -spectrum via the action of $\mathcal{L}(1) = \mathcal{J}_c(U, U)$ on $\mathcal{J}_c(U', U)$ by composition. This action of $\mathcal{L}(1)$ on $\mathcal{J}_c(U', U)$ also gives $\mathcal{J}_c(U', U)_+ \wedge X$ the structure of an $\mathbb{L}$ -space. These $\mathbb{L}$ actions are related by the untwisting isomorphism of [6, Proposition 2.1]:

Lemma 9.1. *There is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{L}$ -spectra:*

$$\mathcal{J}_c(U', U) \ltimes \Sigma^{U'} X \cong \Sigma_{\mathbb{L}}^U (\mathcal{J}_c(U', U)_+ \wedge X).$$

We may now make the comparison:

Proposition 9.2. *The diagrams on the left commute up to natural weak equivalence and the diagrams on homotopy categories on the right commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{I}\mathcal{S} & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{N}^\#} & \mathcal{S}[\mathbb{L}] \\
\Omega^\bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{L}}^\infty \\
\mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U} & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{Q}^\#} & \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}]
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{ho}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{S} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{L}\mathbb{N}} & \mathrm{ho}\mathcal{S}[\mathbb{L}] \\
\mathbf{R}\Omega^\bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{R}\Omega_{\mathbb{L}}^\infty \\
\mathrm{ho}\mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{L}\mathbb{Q}} & \mathrm{ho}\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}]
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{S} & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{N}^\#} & \mathcal{S}[\mathcal{L}] \\
\Omega^\bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow \Omega_{\mathbb{L}}^\infty \\
\mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U} & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{Q}^\#} & \mathcal{U}[\mathcal{L}]
\end{array}
\qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{ho}\mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{S} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{L}\mathbb{N}} & \mathrm{ho}\mathcal{S}[\mathcal{L}] \\
\mathbf{R}\Omega^\bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{R}\Omega_{\mathbb{L}}^\infty \\
\mathrm{ho}\mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{L}\mathbb{Q}} & \mathrm{ho}\mathcal{U}[\mathcal{L}]
\end{array}$$

Proof. We make the comparison of right adjoints first. Unraveling definitions, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega^\bullet \mathbb{N}^\# E(V) &= \Omega^V \mathcal{S}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{I}_c(V \otimes U, U) \ltimes \Sigma_V^{V \otimes U} S^0, E) \\
&\cong \mathcal{S}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{I}_c(V \otimes U, U) \ltimes (S^V \wedge \Sigma_V^{V \otimes U} S^0), E) \\
&\cong \mathcal{S}[\mathbb{L}](\Sigma_{\mathbb{L}+}^\infty \mathcal{I}_c(V \otimes U, U), E) \\
&\cong \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{I}_c(V \otimes U, U), \Omega_{\mathbb{L}}^\infty E).
\end{aligned}$$

The first isomorphism follows since tensors with spaces are preserved by left adjoints, such as the twisted half-smash product. For the second isomorphism, notice that $S^V \wedge \Sigma_V^{V \otimes U} S^0 \cong \Sigma^{V \otimes U} S^0$, and then apply the untwisting isomorphism (Lemma 9.1):

$$\mathcal{I}_c(V \otimes U, U) \ltimes \Sigma^{V \otimes U} S^0 \cong \Sigma_{\mathbb{L}+}^\infty \mathcal{I}_c(V \otimes U, U).$$

On the other hand,

$$\mathbb{Q}^\# \Omega_{\mathbb{L}}^\infty E(V) = \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{I}_c(V, U), \Omega_{\mathbb{L}}^\infty E).$$

A choice of one-dimensional subspace of U gives a fixed isometry $V \longrightarrow V \otimes U$ (if $U = \mathbf{R}^\infty$, we may choose $\mathbf{R} \subset \mathbf{R}^\infty$). Lemma 8.8 applied to this isometry gives an equivalence of mapping spaces:

$$\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{I}_c(V, U), \Omega_{\mathbb{L}}^\infty E) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{I}_c(V \otimes U, U), \Omega_{\mathbb{L}}^\infty E).$$

Since this map is natural in V , we have a level equivalence of $\mathcal{I}_f$ -spaces $\mathbb{Q}^\# \Omega_{\mathbb{L}}^\infty E \longrightarrow \Omega^\bullet \mathbb{N}^\# E$. This gives a stable equivalence, natural in E , making the two diagrams on the left commute up to equivalence. The right hand diagrams follow from Proposition 5.2. $\square$

The two rightmost squares of diagram (8.5) commute strictly, so we may immediately deduce the comparison of infinite loop spaces between orthogonal spectra and S -modules from Proposition 9.2:

Proposition 9.3. *The diagrams on the left commute up to natural weak equivalence and the diagrams of homotopy categories on the right commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{I}\mathcal{I} & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{N}_S^\#} & \mathcal{M}_S \\
 \Omega^\bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow \Omega_S^\infty \\
 \mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U} & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{Q}_\#} & \mathcal{M}_*
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathrm{ho}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{L}\mathbb{N}_S} & \mathrm{ho}\mathcal{M}_S \\
 \mathbf{R}\Omega^\bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{R}\Omega_S^\infty \\
 \mathrm{ho}\mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{L}\mathbb{Q}_\#} & \mathrm{ho}\mathcal{M}_*
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I} & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{N}_S^\#} & \mathbf{C}\mathcal{M}_S \\
 \Omega^\bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow \Omega_S^\infty \\
 \mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U} & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{Q}_\#} & \mathbf{C}\mathcal{M}_*
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathrm{ho}\mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{L}\mathbb{N}_S} & \mathrm{ho}\mathbf{C}\mathcal{M}_S \\
 \mathbf{R}\Omega^\bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{R}\Omega_S^\infty \\
 \mathrm{ho}\mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{L}\mathbb{Q}_\#} & \mathrm{ho}\mathbf{C}\mathcal{M}_*
 \end{array}$$

This concludes the comparison of infinite loop space functors and the proof of Theorem 1.2.

10. THE SPACE OF UNITS OF A DIAGRAM RING SPECTRUM

Let R be a commutative diagram ring spectrum. In the next two sections, we will define the spectrum of units $gl_1 R$. The idea is that by taking the stably invertible components of the commutative FCP $\Omega^\bullet R$, we have a space-level model for the units: a commutative FCP $GL_1^\bullet R$. This is accomplished in the current section. We then convert commutative FCPs into spectra in §11. The construction is essentially the same as that of Schlichtkrull [25] in the case of symmetric spectra.

The forgetful functor from groups to monoids has a right adjoint $M \mapsto M^\times$, where $M^\times$ is the submonoid of invertible elements of M . We will make the analogous construction for $\mathbb{I}$ -FCPs. Let X be an $\mathbb{I}$ -FCP. The discrete space $\pi_0 X = \pi_0 \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbb{I}} X$ inherits the structure of a topological monoid from the A_∞ space structure of $\mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbb{I}} X$. We say that X is grouplike if $\pi_0 X$ is a group. Consider $\pi_0 X$ and $(\pi_0 X)^\times$ as constant $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces. Notice that $\pi_0 \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbb{I}} X \cong \mathrm{colim}_{n \in \mathbb{I}} \pi_0 X_n$. We define a discretization map of $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces $X \rightarrow \pi_0 X$ by:

$$X_n \rightarrow \pi_0 X_n \rightarrow \mathrm{colim}_{n \in \mathbb{I}} \pi_0 X_n \cong \pi_0 \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbb{I}} X = \pi_0 X.$$

The first map is the discretization map of spaces that takes a point to its connected component in π_0 , while the second is the inclusion into the colimit. For any morphism $\varphi: \mathbf{m} \rightarrow \mathbf{n}$ in $\mathbb{I}$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X_m & \xrightarrow{\varphi_*} & X_n \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \pi_0 X_m & \xrightarrow{\pi_0 \varphi_*} & \pi_0 X_n \\
 & \searrow & \swarrow \\
 & \mathrm{colim}_{n \in \mathbb{I}} \pi_0 X_n &
 \end{array}$$

Hence $X \longrightarrow \pi_0 X$ is a map of $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces. We may now define an $\mathbb{I}$ -FCP $X^\times$ by the following pullback of $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^\times & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\pi_0 X)^\times & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 X \end{array}$$

Thus $(X^\times)_n$ is the union of the components of X_n whose elements are stably invertible, in the sense that they map to units in $\pi_0 X$. It is immediate that $\pi_0(X^\times) = (\pi_0 X)^\times$ and so $X^\times$ is grouplike. Furthermore, $X \mapsto X^\times$ is right adjoint to the forgetful functor from grouplike $\mathbb{I}$ -FCPs to $\mathbb{I}$ -FCPs.

Specializing to the case of the FCP $\Omega^\bullet R$ for symmetric ring spectra R , we can define FCPs of units:

Definition 10.1. Let R be a symmetric ring spectrum. The $\mathbb{I}$ -FCP of units of R is $\mathrm{GL}_1^\bullet R = (\Omega^\bullet R)^\times$.

Remark 10.2. For semistable symmetric spectra, $\pi_0 \Omega^\infty R \cong \pi_0 R$ (see Remark 2.2). In this case, $(\pi_0 R)^\times$ is the usual subgroup of (multiplicatively) invertible elements of the ring $\pi_0 R$ and $\mathrm{GL}_1^\bullet R$ is defined by the following pullback:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{GL}_1^\bullet R & \longrightarrow & \Omega^\bullet R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\pi_0 R)^\times & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 R \end{array}$$

We now do the same thing in the orthogonal case, taking care to take homotopy colimits over the subcategory $\mathcal{S}_f^U$ of $\mathcal{S}_f$. Let X be an $\mathcal{S}_f$ -FCP. The discrete space $\pi_0 X = \pi_0 \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{S}_f^U} X$ is a monoid and we say that X is grouplike if $\pi_0 X$ is a group. Consider $\pi_0 X$ and $(\pi_0 X)^\times$ as constant $\mathcal{S}_f$ -spaces. We have a map of $\mathcal{S}_f$ -spaces $X \longrightarrow \pi_0 X$ just as for $\mathbb{I}$. We may now define an $\mathcal{S}_f$ -FCP $X^\times$ by the following pullback of $\mathcal{S}_f$ -spaces:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^\times & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\pi_0 X)^\times & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 X \end{array}$$

The functor $X \mapsto X^\times$ is right adjoint to the forgetful functor from grouplike $\mathcal{S}_f$ -FCPs to $\mathcal{S}_f$ -FCPs.

Definition 10.3. Let R be an orthogonal ring spectrum. The $\mathcal{S}_f$ -FCP of units of R is $\mathrm{GL}_1^\bullet R = (\Omega^\bullet R)^\times$.

Remark 10.4. For all orthogonal ring spectra R , $\pi_0 \Omega^\infty R \cong \pi_0 R$. Hence $\mathrm{GL}_1^\bullet R$ is the pullback:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{GL}_1^\bullet R & \longrightarrow & \Omega^\bullet R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\pi_0 R)^\times & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 R \end{array}$$

11. THE SPECTRUM OF UNITS OF A COMMUTATIVE DIAGRAM RING SPECTRUM

From a commutative diagram ring spectrum R we have constructed a commutative FCP $\mathrm{GL}_1^\bullet R$. We will now convert commutative FCPs into spectra. This requires passing through an appropriate input category for infinite loop space machines.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the category of finite based sets $\mathbf{n}^+ = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, with 0 the basepoint, and based functions of sets. An $\mathcal{F}$ -space is a functor $Y: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ such that the projections $\delta_i: \mathbf{n}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{1}^+$ sending i to 1 and all other elements to the basepoint induce a weak equivalence:

$$\delta: Y_n \xrightarrow{\simeq} \prod_{i=1}^n Y_1.$$

We call an $\mathcal{F}$ -space grouplike if $\pi_0 Y_1$ is a group. The category $\mathcal{F}$ is the opposite of Segal's category Γ and his notion of a special Γ -space (respectively, very special Γ -space) is equivalent to that of an $\mathcal{F}$ -space (respectively, grouplike $\mathcal{F}$ -space).

It will be easier to proceed in full generality for the next step. Let $\mathcal{D}$ denote either $\mathbb{I}$ or $\mathcal{I}_f$ and let $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$ denote the category that homotopy colimits are taken over in defining equivalences of $\mathcal{D}$ -spaces. Thus when $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{I}$, $\underline{\mathcal{D}} = \mathbb{I}$ and when $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{I}_f$, $\underline{\mathcal{D}} = \mathcal{I}_f^U$. More generally, for any $\mathcal{D}$ satisfying the hypotheses of §14, take $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$ to be the category denoted by $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$ there.

Let X be a commutative $\mathcal{D}$ -FCP. We would like to construct an $\mathcal{F}$ -space given by $\mathbf{n}^+ \mapsto \mathrm{hocolim}_{\underline{\mathcal{D}}} X^{\boxtimes n}$, reminiscent of how one forms an $\mathcal{F}$ -space from a commutative topological monoid. The problem is that $X^{\boxtimes n}$ generally has the wrong homotopy type. To get around this problem, we need to use the flat replacement of $\mathcal{D}$ -spaces. Flat replacement was introduced in [4, 26] for $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces and is the standard approximation technique using the categorical bar construction.

The *flat replacement* of a $\mathcal{D}$ -space X is the $\mathcal{D}$ -space fX defined by the bar construction:

$$fX = B(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}, X).$$

There is a map of $\mathcal{D}$ -spaces from the flat replacement fX to X :

$$fX = B(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}, X) \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}} X \cong X.$$

In the case of $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces, fX is given at each level by:

$$fX(\mathbf{n}) = \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbf{m} \rightarrow \mathbf{n}} X(\mathbf{m}),$$

where the homotopy colimit is taken over the comma category $(\mathcal{D} \downarrow \mathbf{n})$.

We also define the *homotopy internal cartesian product* $X \boxtimes^h Y$ of $\mathcal{D}$ -spaces X and Y using the bar construction:

$$X \boxtimes^h Y = B(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^2, X \times Y).$$

On the left, $\mathcal{D}$ is the functor $(\mathcal{D}^2)^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}$ given by $(d, d') \mapsto \mathcal{D}(d \oplus d', -)$. As the internal cartesian product $X \boxtimes Y$ is the left Kan extension of $X \times Y$ along $\oplus: \mathcal{D}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$, the homotopy internal cartesian product $X \boxtimes^h Y$ is the homotopy left Kan extension of the same functors, using the bar construction.

Lemma 11.1. *There is a natural isomorphism of $\mathcal{D}$ -spaces $fX \boxtimes fY \cong X \boxtimes^h Y$.*

Proof. This is just a comparison of definitions:

$$\begin{aligned}
B(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}, X) \boxtimes B(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}, Y) &= \mathcal{D} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}^2} (B(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}, X) \times B(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}, Y)) \\
&\cong \mathcal{D} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}^2} B(\mathcal{D}^2, \mathcal{D}^2, X \times Y) \\
&\cong B(\mathcal{D} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}^2} \mathcal{D}^2, \mathcal{D}^2, X \times Y) \\
&\cong B(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^2, X \times Y)
\end{aligned}$$

□

We will need the following lemma here and in later sections. It is a common cofinality result for homotopy colimits and includes Quillen's Theorem A as a special case. For a recent proof, see [8, 4.4].

Lemma 11.2. *Let $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor, and X a $\mathcal{D}$ -space. Suppose that the classifying space of the comma category $(d \downarrow F)$ is contractible for every object d of $\mathcal{D}$. Then the map of homotopy colimits*

$$\mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{C}} X \circ F \rightarrow \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} X$$

is a weak equivalence.

Lemma 11.3. *The $\mathcal{D}^{op}$ -space $B(*, \underline{\mathcal{D}}, \mathcal{D})$ is level-wise contractible.*

Proof. For $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{I}$, $\underline{\mathcal{D}} = \mathbb{I}$, so this is the canonical equivalence $B(*, \mathbb{I}, \mathbb{I}) \simeq *$. For $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{I}_f$, $\underline{\mathcal{D}} = \mathcal{I}_f^U$, and we must show that:

$$\mathrm{hocolim}_{W \in \mathcal{I}_f^U} \mathcal{I}_f(V, W) \simeq *.$$

By Lemma 11.2, the inclusion of categories $\mathbb{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_f^U$ induces an equivalence of homotopy colimits. This gives the first equivalence in the following zig-zag:

$$\mathrm{hocolim}_{W \in \mathcal{I}_f^U} \mathcal{I}_f(V, W) \xleftarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{hocolim}_{n \in \mathbb{J}} \mathcal{I}_f(V, \mathbf{R}^n) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{colim}_{n \in \mathbb{J}} \mathcal{I}_f(V, \mathbf{R}^n) \cong \mathcal{I}_c(V, \mathbf{R}^\infty) \simeq *.$$

The second equivalence follows because the maps in the colimit system are closed inclusions. The contractibility of the space of isometries finishes the proof. □

We summarize the properties of flatness and flat replacement that we will need:

Lemma 11.4. *Let X be a $\mathcal{D}$ -space.*

- (i) *The projection $fX \rightarrow X$ is a level equivalence.*
- (ii) *Flat replacement defines a lax monoidal endofunctor f on $\mathcal{D}$ -spaces and the projection $fX \rightarrow X$ is a monoidal natural transformation.*
- (iii) *The Segal map*

$$\delta: \mathrm{hocolim}_{\underline{\mathcal{D}}} (fX_1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes fX_n) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \mathrm{hocolim}_{\underline{\mathcal{D}}} fX_i$$

is a weak equivalence.

Proof. (i) is a standard fact about the bar construction. For (ii), consider the map of $\mathcal{D}^2$ -spaces adjoint to the identity map $X \boxtimes Y \rightarrow X \boxtimes Y$:

$$X \times Y \rightarrow (X \boxtimes Y) \circ \oplus.$$

This induces the first map on bar constructions below:

$$\begin{aligned}
 fX \boxtimes fY &\cong B(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^2, X \times Y) \\
 &\downarrow \\
 B(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^2, (X \boxtimes Y) \circ \oplus) \\
 &\downarrow \oplus_* \\
 B(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}, X \boxtimes Y) &= f(X \boxtimes Y).
 \end{aligned}$$

The composite is the lax comparison map for $\boxtimes$. The unit comparison map is the inclusion of zero-simplices $* \longrightarrow B(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}, *)$.

(iii) follows from previous results and formal manipulation of the bar construction:

$$\begin{aligned}
 B(*, \underline{\mathcal{D}}, fX \boxtimes fY) &\cong B(*, \underline{\mathcal{D}}, B(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^2, X \times Y)) \\
 &\cong B(B(*, \underline{\mathcal{D}}, \mathcal{D}), \mathcal{D}^2, X \times Y) \\
 &\simeq B(*, \mathcal{D}^2, X \times Y) \\
 &\cong B(*, \mathcal{D}, X) \times B(*, \mathcal{D}, Y) \\
 &\simeq B(B(*, \underline{\mathcal{D}}, \mathcal{D}), \mathcal{D}, X) \times B(B(*, \underline{\mathcal{D}}, \mathcal{D}), \mathcal{D}, Y) \\
 &\cong B(*, \underline{\mathcal{D}}, fX) \times B(*, \underline{\mathcal{D}}, fY).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Construction 11.5. We construct a functor from commutative $\mathcal{D}$ -FCPs to $\mathcal{F}$ -spaces as the following composite:

$$H: \mathbf{CDU} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{CDU} \xrightarrow{\boxtimes} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbf{CDU}) \xrightarrow{\text{hocolim}_{\underline{\mathcal{D}}}} \mathcal{FU}.$$

The first functor is the flat replacement functor f and the second takes a commutative $\mathcal{D}$ -FCP X to the $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{D}$ -FCP $X^{\boxtimes}$ given by:

$$X^{\boxtimes}: \mathbf{n}^+ \longmapsto X^{\boxtimes n}.$$

Since X is commutative, $\mu: X \boxtimes X \longrightarrow X$ is a map of FCPs, and so the maps $X^{\boxtimes m} \longrightarrow X^{\boxtimes n}$ induced by maps of $\mathcal{F}$ are $\mathcal{D}$ -FCP maps. The third functor applies the homotopy colimit levelwise. Thus the resulting $\mathcal{F}$ -space HX has n -th space:

$$HX_n = \text{hocolim}_{\underline{\mathcal{D}}}(fX)^{\boxtimes n}.$$

The Segal map $\delta: HX_n \longrightarrow (HX_1)^n$ is an equivalence by Lemma 11.4(iii).

We also allow the following variant: given any subcategory $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{D}$, denote by $H_{\mathcal{C}}$ the same construction with the homotopy colimits taken over $\mathcal{C}$. By the proof of Lemma 11.4(iii), the output will still be an $\mathcal{F}$ -space as long as $B(*, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is level-wise contractible.

Remark 11.6. For the case of commutative $\mathbb{I}$ -FCPs, this is essentially the construction given by Schlichtkrull [25]. However, he works externally in terms of $\mathbb{I}^n$ -spaces $X^{\times n}$, and functoriality in $\mathcal{F}$ requires taking homotopy colimits over modified versions of $\mathbb{I}^n$ denoted by $D(n)$ there. Our approach is internal, using the monoidal product $\boxtimes$. A straightforward exercise shows that the two approaches give equivalent $\mathcal{F}$ -spaces.

The last step in constructing the spectrum of units is converting an $\mathcal{F}$ -space into a spectrum. Our model for the output will be weak Ω -spectra. A weak Ω -spectrum X is a sequence of space X_n with spectrum structure maps $X_n \rightarrow \Omega X_{n+1}$ that are weak equivalences. In the more modern language of [13], these are the fibrant objects in the category of coordinatized prespectra. Denote the category of weak Ω -spectra by $\mathcal{S}^\Omega$. We will use the term spectrum for this notion from now on, hoping not to cause confusion with the underlying (LMS) spectrum of an $\mathbb{L}$ -spectrum. Since the spectrum of units of a ring spectrum will never carry multiplicative structure, we need not model it in a symmetric monoidal category of spectra: ultimately, we only care about the object it determines in the stable homotopy category.

There are many different, but equivalent, constructions of a spectrum from an $\mathcal{F}$ -space. Instead of choosing one, we follow the axiomatic approach of [22]. The most general input is the notion of an $\mathcal{O}$ -space for a category of operators $\mathcal{O}$. This includes both the case of $\mathcal{F}$ -spaces and $\mathcal{C}$ -spaces for an E_∞ operad $\mathcal{C}$. An *infinite loop space machine* is a functor E from $\mathcal{O}$ -spaces (for some category of operators $\mathcal{O}$) to weak Ω -spectra along with a natural group completion $\iota: Y_1 \rightarrow E_0 Y$ for every $\mathcal{F}$ -space Y . The main theorem of [22] shows that any two infinite loop space machines agree up to natural weak equivalence, so the following definition does not depend on the choice of E .

Definition 11.7. Let R be a symmetric or orthogonal ring spectrum. The spectrum of units of R is the output of any infinite loop space machine E applied to the $\mathcal{F}$ -space given by Construction 11.5 for the commutative FCP $\mathrm{GL}_1^\bullet R$:

$$gl_1 R = EHGL_1^\bullet R.$$

We will not use the following result until the comparison with the spectra of units of E_∞ ring spectra, but we record it here since it is immediate from the definitions. It shows how Construction 11.5 encodes infinite loop space structure in two different ways. Recall that for an operad $\mathcal{C}$, an $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{C}$ -space is an $\mathcal{F}$ -space X such that each X_n is a $\mathcal{C}$ -space and the maps induced by morphisms in $\mathcal{F}$ are maps of $\mathcal{C}$ -spaces.

Proposition 11.8. *If X is a commutative $\mathbb{I}$ -FCP, then HX is an $\mathcal{F}E\Sigma$ -space, where $E\Sigma$ is the topological Barratt-Eccles operad. If X is a commutative $\mathcal{I}_f$ -FCP, then HX is an $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{L}$ -space, where $\mathcal{L}$ is the linear isometries operad.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.3, respectively Proposition 4.5, each homotopy colimit HX_n is an $E\Sigma$ -space, respectively an $\mathcal{L}$ -space. The induced maps $HX_m \rightarrow HX_n$ respect the operad structure because they are the homotopy colimits of maps of FCPs $(fX)^{\boxtimes m} \rightarrow (fX)^{\boxtimes n}$. $\square$

Remark 11.9. We could have defined the spectra of units of diagram ring spectra operadically, by applying an infinite loop space machine to the E_∞ space $\mathrm{hocolim} \mathrm{GL}_1^\bullet R$. In fact, this gives the same homotopy type as Definition 11.7. For symmetric ring spectra one can prove this by applying the uniqueness result of [18] to the permutative category whose geometric realization is $\mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbb{I}} \mathrm{GL}_1^\bullet R$. For orthogonal ring spectra, we do not pass through the data of a permutative category so that uniqueness theorem is not applicable, but the comparison will implicitly be part of the proof of Theorem 13.3.

12. COMPARISON OF UNITS OF DIAGRAM RING SPECTRA

We will compare the spectra of units of symmetric and orthogonal commutative ring spectra. We first state a few basic lemmas.

Lemma 12.1. [22, 2.3] *Let $\psi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a map of $\mathcal{F}$ -spaces such that the map of spaces $\psi_1: X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ is a weak equivalence. Then $E\psi$ is an equivalence of spectra.*

Lemma 12.2. *Let $X \rightarrow Y$ be a stable equivalence of commutative $\mathbb{I}$ -FCPs or commutative $\mathcal{J}_f$ -FCPs. Then the induced map $EHX \rightarrow EHY$ is an equivalence of spectra.*

Proof. Here is the proof for $\mathbb{I}$ -FCPs. The orthogonal case is essentially identical. By Lemma 12.1, it suffices to show the map of spaces $HX_1 \rightarrow HY_1$ is an equivalence. By definition, $HX_1 = \text{hocolim}_{\mathbb{I}} fX$ where fX is the flat replacement of X . The canonical map $fX \rightarrow X$ is a level equivalence, so the map of homotopy colimits

$$\text{hocolim}_{\mathbb{I}} fX \rightarrow \text{hocolim}_{\mathbb{I}} X$$

is an equivalence. Since $X \rightarrow Y$ is a stable equivalence, the result follows. $\square$

The next lemma is a basic fact about homotopy colimits, and is proven in [14, 6.2]. It will be used repeatedly in later sections.

Lemma 12.3. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a category with an initial object 0. Let X be a $\mathcal{C}$ -space such that for every morphism φ of $\mathcal{C}$, the induced map $X(\varphi)$ is a weak equivalence of spaces. Then for any object c of $\mathcal{C}$, the inclusion*

$$X_c \rightarrow \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{C}} X$$

is a weak equivalence.

When X is a fibrant $\mathcal{D}$ -space in the positive model structure, $X(\varphi): X_d \rightarrow X_{d'}$ is only an equivalence for $d > 0$, so the previous lemma does not apply. A serious technical result provides the same conclusion:

Lemma 12.4 (Böksted). *Let $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{I}$ or $\mathcal{J}_f$. Let X be a $\mathcal{D}$ -space and let $\mathcal{D}_{>n}$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}$ consisting of objects $\mathbf{d} > \mathbf{n}$ for $\mathbb{I}$ and V with $\dim(V) > n$ for $\mathcal{J}_f$. Suppose that every morphism $d \rightarrow d'$ in $\mathcal{D}_{>n}$ induces a λ_n -connected map $X_d \rightarrow X_{d'}$. Then the inclusion $X_d \rightarrow \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} X$ is at least $(\lambda_n - 1)$ -connected.*

This goes back to Böksted's THH preprints. For a published proof, see [5, 2.5.1]. Notice that in the case of $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{J}_f$, we really are taking homotopy colimits over the whole category $\mathcal{J}_f$. This is the only point where we will need to do this, and only for fibrant objects.

Lemma 12.5. *Let $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{I}$, $\mathcal{J}_f^U$, or $\mathcal{J}_f$ and suppose that $X(\varphi)$ is a weak equivalence for every morphism φ in $\mathcal{D}_{>0}$. Then for any object d of $\mathcal{D}_{>0}$, each inclusion in the composite*

$$X_d \rightarrow \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}_{>0}} X \rightarrow \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} X$$

is a weak equivalence.

Proof. For $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{I}$ or $\mathcal{J}_f$, the whole composite is a weak equivalence by Lemma 12.4 and the second map is always an equivalence by [14, 6.4] (the proof given there for $\mathbb{I}$ works equally well for $\mathcal{J}_f$).

For $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{J}_f^U$, apply the cofinality lemma (Lemma 11.2) to the inclusions of categories $\mathbb{J}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{f>0}^U$ and $\mathbb{J}_{>0} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_f^U$. The hypotheses are readily checked,

so we have weak equivalences $\mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbb{J}_{>0}} X \simeq \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}_{f>0}^U} X$ and $\mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbb{J}_{>0}} X \simeq \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}_f^U} X$. By the two out of three property, the inclusion

$$\mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}_{f>0}^U} X \longrightarrow \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}_f^U} X$$

is a weak equivalence. This gives the second weak equivalence in question.

For the first, let V be an inner product space of dimension $d > 0$. Choose an inclusion of categories $\mathbb{J}_{>0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_{f>0}^U$ that sends $\mathbf{d}$ to V and each $\mathbf{n}$ to an inner product space of dimension n in a compatible way with the choice $\mathbf{d} \mapsto V$. Then the composite

$$X_V \longrightarrow \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbb{J}_{>0}} X \longrightarrow \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}_{f>0}^U} X$$

is the inclusion that we must show is a weak equivalence. The first map is a weak equivalence because $\mathbb{J}_{>0}$ has an initial object so we may apply Lemma 12.3, and we already know that the second is a weak equivalence. $\square$

The following proposition compares the output of Construction 11.5 when fed $\mathcal{J}_f$ -FCPs and their underlying $\mathbb{I}$ -FCPs.

Proposition 12.6. *Let X be a fibrant commutative $\mathcal{J}_f$ -FCP. Then there is a natural equivalence of spectra $EHX \simeq E\mathbb{H}(UX)$.*

Proof. Recall the following notation from Construction 11.5: Given a $\mathcal{D}$ -FCP X , we let $H_{\mathcal{C}}X$ denote the $\mathcal{F}$ -space defined by taking homotopy colimits over a subcategory $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{D}$ satisfying $B(*, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \simeq *$. The inclusions of categories $\mathcal{J}_f^U \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_f$ and $\mathbb{I} \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_f$ induce maps of $\mathcal{F}$ -spaces:

$$H_{\mathcal{J}_f^U} X \longrightarrow H_{\mathcal{J}_f} X \longleftarrow H_{\mathbb{I}} X.$$

We will show that these are equivalences of spectra after applying E . Fix $d > 0$. Because X and $\mathbb{H}X$ are positive fibrant, Lemma 12.5 implies that the horizontal maps on the left in the following commutative diagram are all weak equivalences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_{\mathbf{R}^d} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}_f^U} X & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & (H_{\mathcal{J}_f^U} X)_1 \\ \parallel & & & & \downarrow \\ X_{\mathbf{R}^d} & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}_f} X & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & (H_{\mathcal{J}_f} X)_1 \\ \parallel & & & & \uparrow \\ \mathbb{H}X_d & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbb{I}} \mathbb{H}X & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & (H_{\mathbb{I}} X)_1 \end{array}$$

The horizontal maps on the right are weak equivalences by the level weak equivalence $fX \longrightarrow X$, as in Lemma 12.2. Thus the right hand vertical maps are weak equivalences. By Lemma 12.1, the associated maps of spectra are equivalences. $\square$

We are ready to prove the comparison theorem for spectra of units of symmetric and orthogonal ring spectra. Before stating the result, we need to know that gl_1 descends to a functor on homotopy categories.

Lemma 12.7. *The functor $\mathrm{GL}_1^\bullet$ on symmetric and orthogonal commutative ring spectra preserves fibrant objects and weak equivalences between fibrant objects.*

Proof. Consider the case of a fibrant commutative symmetric ring spectrum R (the orthogonal case is essentially identical). By Proposition 6.4, $\Omega^\bullet R$ is fibrant in the positive stable model structure. Restricting the equivalences $\Omega^m R_m \longrightarrow \Omega^n R_n$ to the stably unital components, we get equivalences $(\Omega^m R_m)^\times \longrightarrow (\Omega^n R_n)^\times$ for $m \geq 1$. Hence $\mathrm{GL}_1 R$ is fibrant in the positive stable model structure.

Next, Suppose that $f: R \longrightarrow R'$ is a stable equivalence of fibrant commutative symmetric ring spectra. By Lemma [13, 8.11], f is a positive level equivalence of symmetric spectra. Hence $\Omega^n f_n: \Omega^n R_n \longrightarrow \Omega^n R'_n$ is an equivalence for $n \geq 1$. Since $\pi_0(R) \longrightarrow \pi_0(R')$ is an isomorphism of monoids, the induced map of pullbacks $(\Omega^n R_n)^\times \longrightarrow (\Omega^n R'_n)^\times$ is an equivalence. Thus $\mathrm{GL}_1^\bullet f$ is a level equivalence, hence a stable equivalence. $\square$

It follows from Lemma 12.7 and Lemma 12.2 that the functor gl_1 preserves weak equivalences between fibrant objects. Hence gl_1 has a right derived functor $\mathbf{R}gl_1$ from the homotopy category of commutative ring spectra to the homotopy category of spectra. Next, we make the comparison of the FCPs $\mathrm{GL}_1^\bullet$:

Proposition 12.8. *The following diagrams commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{C}\Sigma\mathcal{S} & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{U}} & \mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{S} \\ \mathrm{GL}_1^\bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathrm{GL}_1^\bullet \\ \mathbf{C}\mathbb{I}\mathcal{U} & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{U}} & \mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{ho}\mathbf{C}\Sigma\mathcal{S} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L}\mathbb{P}} & \mathrm{ho}\mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{S} \\ \mathbf{R}\mathrm{GL}_1^\bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{R}\mathrm{GL}_1^\bullet \\ \mathrm{ho}\mathbf{C}\mathbb{I}\mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L}\mathbb{P}} & \mathrm{ho}\mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U} \end{array}$$

Proof. Let R be a commutative orthogonal ring spectrum. Then $\mathbb{U}R$ is a semistable symmetric ring spectrum, so $\mathrm{GL}_1^\bullet \mathbb{U}R$ may be identified with the following pullback of $\mathbb{I}$ -FCPs:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{GL}_1^\bullet \mathbb{U}R & \longrightarrow & \Omega^\bullet \mathbb{U}R \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\pi_0 \mathbb{U}R)^\times & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 \mathbb{U}R \end{array}$$

Since $\Omega^\bullet \mathbb{U}R \cong \mathbb{U}\Omega^\bullet R$ and $\pi_0 \mathbb{U}R \cong \pi_0 R$, $\mathbb{U}$ takes the pullback diagram of $\mathcal{I}_f$ -FCPs defining $\mathrm{GL}_1^\bullet R$ to the displayed pullback. $\mathbb{U}$ preserves pullbacks, so we have a natural isomorphism $\mathbb{U}\mathrm{GL}_1^\bullet R \cong \mathrm{GL}_1^\bullet \mathbb{U}R$. This gives the diagram on the left. The second diagram commutes by applying Proposition 5.2 $\square$

Theorem 12.9. *The following diagrams commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{ho}\mathbf{C}\Sigma\mathcal{S} & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{R}\mathbb{U}} & \mathrm{ho}\mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{S} \\ \searrow \mathbf{R}gl_1 & & \swarrow \mathbf{R}gl_1 \\ & \mathrm{ho}\mathcal{S}^\Omega & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{ho}\mathbf{C}\Sigma\mathcal{S} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L}\mathbb{P}} & \mathrm{ho}\mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{S} \\ \searrow \mathbf{R}gl_1 & & \swarrow \mathbf{R}gl_1 \\ & \mathrm{ho}\mathcal{S}^\Omega & \end{array}$$

Proof. The diagram on the left can be expanded into the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathrm{ho} \mathbf{C}\Sigma\mathcal{S} & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{RU}} & \mathrm{ho} \mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{S} \\
 \mathbf{RGL}_1^\bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{RGL}_1^\bullet \\
 \mathrm{ho} \mathbf{C}\mathbb{I}\mathcal{U} & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{RU}} & \mathrm{ho} \mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U} \\
 & \searrow EH & \swarrow EH \\
 & \mathrm{ho} \mathcal{S}^\Omega &
 \end{array}$$

The upper square commutes by Proposition 12.8. Since the right derived functors are calculated by fibrant approximation, Proposition 12.6 applies, proving that the lower triangle commutes.

The second diagram is:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathrm{ho} \mathbf{C}\Sigma\mathcal{S} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{LP}} & \mathrm{ho} \mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{S} \\
 \mathbf{RGL}_1^\bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{RGL}_1^\bullet \\
 \mathrm{ho} \mathbf{C}\mathbb{I}\mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{LP}} & \mathrm{ho} \mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U} \\
 & \searrow EH & \swarrow EH \\
 & \mathrm{ho} \mathcal{S}^\Omega &
 \end{array}$$

The top square commutes by Proposition 12.8. For the lower triangle, notice that the following natural transformation is an isomorphism:

$$EH \xrightarrow{EH\eta} EH \mathbf{RU} \mathbf{LP} \cong EH \mathbf{LP}.$$

Here the displayed isomorphism is the commutativity of the triangle in the previous diagram and the unit η of the adjunction $(\mathbf{LP}, \mathbf{RU})$ is an isomorphism by the Quillen equivalence of Theorem 6.2. $\square$

13. COMPARISON OF UNITS OF ORTHOGONAL AND E_∞ RING SPECTRA

We now make the comparison between the spectra of units of commutative orthogonal ring spectra and E_∞ ring spectra. They must first be compared at the space level:

Proposition 13.1. *The following diagrams commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathrm{ho} \mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{S} & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{RN}^\#} & \mathrm{ho} \mathcal{S}[\mathcal{L}] \\
 \mathbf{RGL}_1^\bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{RGL}_1 \\
 \mathrm{ho} \mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U} & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{RQ}^\#} & \mathrm{ho} \mathcal{U}[\mathcal{L}]
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathrm{ho} \mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{S} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{LN}} & \mathrm{ho} \mathcal{S}[\mathcal{L}] \\
 \mathbf{RGL}_1^\bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{RGL}_1 \\
 \mathrm{ho} \mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{LQ}} & \mathrm{ho} \mathcal{U}[\mathcal{L}]
 \end{array}$$

Proof. We consider the diagram on the left first. The second diagram will follow by Proposition 5.2 as usual. $\mathbf{GL}_1^\bullet$ is the composite of $\Omega^\bullet$ and the units functor of $\mathcal{I}_f$ -FCPs: $X \mapsto X^\times$. Similarly, the functor $\mathbf{GL}_1$ from E_∞ ring spectra to $\mathcal{L}$ -spaces

is the composite of $\Omega_{\mathbb{L}}^\infty$ and the functor of $\mathcal{L}$ -spaces taking Y to the pullback:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y^\times & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \pi_0 Y^\times & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 Y \end{array}$$

Using the diagram in Proposition 9.2 that compares $\Omega^\bullet$ with $\mathbb{N}^\#$ and $\mathbb{Q}^\#$, it suffices to prove that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U} & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{Q}^\#} & \mathcal{U}[\mathcal{L}] \\ (-)^\times \downarrow & & \downarrow (-)^\times \\ \mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U} & \xleftarrow{\mathbb{Q}^\#} & \mathcal{U}[\mathcal{L}] \end{array}$$

Let Y be an $\mathcal{L}$ -space. First compute the components of $\pi_0\mathbb{Q}^\#Y(V)$:

$$\pi_0\mathbb{Q}^\#Y(V) = \pi_0\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathcal{I}_c(V, U), Y) \cong \pi_0\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}](\mathbb{L}(*), Y) \cong \pi_0Y.$$

The middle isomorphism follows from the equivalence of $\mathbb{L}$ -spaces $\mathcal{I}_c(V, U) \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_c(U, U) = \mathcal{L}(1)$ and the second from the adjunction between $\mathcal{U}[\mathbb{L}]$ and $\mathcal{U}$. It follows that:

$$\pi_0\mathbb{Q}^\#Y = \pi_0\mathrm{hocolim}_{V \in \mathcal{I}_f^U} \mathbb{Q}^\#Y(V) \cong \mathrm{colim}_{V \in \mathcal{I}_f^U} \pi_0\mathbb{Q}^\#Y(V) \cong \pi_0Y.$$

This is an isomorphism of monoids, so we also have $(\pi_0\mathbb{Q}^\#Y)^\times \cong (\pi_0Y)^\times$. Therefore, $\mathbb{Q}^\#$ takes the pullback diagram defining $Y^\times$ to the pullback diagram defining $(\mathbb{Q}Y)^\times$. Since $\mathbb{Q}^\#$ preserves pullbacks, we have a natural isomorphism $\mathbb{Q}^\#(Y^\times) \cong (\mathbb{Q}^\#Y)^\times$, giving the commutativity of the diagram. $\square$

To compare the associated spectra of units, we need to compare the output of different infinite loop space machines. This is the main technical thrust of the uniqueness theorem for infinite loop space machines [22], whose methods and notation we follow for the next proposition. The Segal machine S is an infinite loop space machine defined on $\mathcal{F}$ -spaces. Let E be any infinite loop space machine defined on $\mathcal{L}$ -spaces. Let $\mathbf{Y}$ be an $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{L}$ -space with n -th $\mathcal{L}$ -space $\mathbf{Y}_n$ and let Y denote the underlying $\mathcal{F}$ -space obtained by forgetting the $\mathcal{L}$ -space structures.

Proposition 13.2. *There is a natural equivalence of spectra $SY \simeq EY_1$.*

Proof. Applying the infinite loop space machine E to each $\mathcal{L}$ -space $\mathbf{Y}_n$, we have a sequence of spectra EY_n such that for m fixed, $\mathbf{n}^+ \mapsto E_m\mathbf{Y}_n$ defines an $\mathcal{F}$ -space $E_m\mathbf{Y}$. Applying the Segal machine S to each of these $\mathcal{F}$ -spaces, we have spectra $SE_m\mathbf{Y}$ for $m \geq 0$. From here the proof is the same as the proof of [22, 2.5]. By properties of the Segal machine, there are equivalences

$$SE_m\mathbf{Y} \xrightarrow{S\sigma} S\Omega E_{m+1}\mathbf{Y} \longrightarrow \Omega SE_{m+1}\mathbf{Y},$$

and thus the spectra $SE_m\mathbf{Y}$ comprise a bispectrum. The “up-and-across” theorem [22, 3.9] then yields an equivalence of spectra $SE_0\mathbf{Y} \simeq S_0EY$. Taking group completions into account leads to a zig-zag of equivalences:

$$SY \xrightarrow{\simeq} SE_0\mathbf{Y} \simeq S_0EY \xleftarrow{\simeq} EY_1.$$

$\square$

We may now compare the spectra of units of commutative orthogonal ring spectra and E_∞ ring spectra:

Theorem 13.3. *The following diagrams commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathrm{ho} \mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{S} & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{RN}^\#} & \mathrm{ho} \mathcal{S}[\mathcal{L}] \\
 \searrow \mathbf{R}gl_1 & & \searrow \mathbf{R}gl_1 \\
 & \mathrm{ho} \mathcal{S}^\Omega &
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathrm{ho} \mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{S} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{LN}} & \mathrm{ho} \mathcal{S}[\mathcal{L}] \\
 \searrow \mathbf{R}gl_1 & & \searrow \mathbf{R}gl_1 \\
 & \mathrm{ho} \mathcal{S}^\Omega &
 \end{array}$$

Proof. We will do the diagram on the left first. We choose to use the Segal machine in the definition of gl_1 for commutative orthogonal ring spectra (Definition 11.7), and thus the diagram is:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathrm{ho} \mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{S} & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{RN}^\#} & \mathrm{ho} \mathcal{S}[\mathcal{L}] \\
 \mathbf{RGL}_1^\bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{RGL}_1 \\
 \mathrm{ho} \mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U} & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{RQ}^\#} & \mathrm{ho} \mathcal{U}[\mathcal{L}] \\
 \searrow SH & & \searrow E \\
 & \mathrm{ho} \mathcal{S}^\Omega &
 \end{array}$$

The square commutes by Proposition 13.1. For the triangle, let Y be an $\mathcal{L}$ -space. By Proposition 11.8, $H\mathbf{Q}^\#Y$ is an $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{L}$ -space, so we may apply Proposition 13.2. This gives an equivalence $S(H\mathbf{Q}^\#Y) \simeq E(H\mathbf{Q}^\#Y)_1$. By Proposition 8.9, there is an equivalence $E \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{I}_f^U} \mathbf{Q}^\#Y \simeq EY$. Put together, we have a natural equivalence

$$SH\mathbf{Q}^\#Y \simeq EH\mathbf{Q}^\#Y_1 \simeq E \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{I}_f^U} \mathbf{Q}^\#Y \simeq EY.$$

Thus the triangle commutes.

The diagram on the right is:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathrm{ho} \mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{S} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{LN}} & \mathrm{ho} \mathcal{S}[\mathcal{L}] \\
 \mathbf{RGL}_1^\bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathbf{RGL}_1 \\
 \mathrm{ho} \mathbf{C}\mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{LQ}} & \mathrm{ho} \mathcal{U}[\mathcal{L}] \\
 \searrow SH & & \searrow E \\
 & \mathrm{ho} \mathcal{S}^\Omega &
 \end{array}$$

The square commutes by Proposition 13.1. The triangle commutes using the unit of the Quillen equivalence $(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{Q}^\#)$ and the triangle in the other diagram, exactly as in the proof of Theorem 12.9. $\square$

14. CONSTRUCTION OF THE STABLE MODEL STRUCTURE

The prolongation functor $\mathbb{P}: \Sigma\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}\mathcal{S}$ and its right adjoint the forgetful functor $\mathbb{U}$ give a Quillen equivalence between the categories of symmetric and orthogonal spectra, each with the stable model structure. We will define corresponding model structures on $\mathbb{I}\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U}$, called the stable model structures, such that the prolongation and forgetful functors comprise a Quillen equivalence.

The primary reference for model structures on diagram spectra is [13], which is the source for many of the arguments in this section.

The stable model structure must be defined slightly differently for $\mathbb{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}_f$ spaces. Furthermore, the stable model structure on commutative monoids in $\mathcal{D}$ -spaces will require an underlying positive model structure on $\mathcal{D}$ -spaces, just as for diagram spectra. We will work at a level of generality that subsumes all of these variants by fixing the following data:

Input Data 14.1. Fix a triple $(\mathcal{D}, \underline{\mathcal{D}}, \mathcal{D}')$ consisting of:

- (i) A small symmetric monoidal topological category $(\mathcal{D}, \oplus, 0)$ whose unit object 0 is an initial object.
- (ii) A subcategory $\underline{\mathcal{D}} \subset \mathcal{D}$.
- (iii) A full subcategory $\mathcal{D}' \subset \mathcal{D}$.

The categories $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\mathcal{D}'$ must satisfy the following conditions:

- (iv) 0 is an initial object of $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$.
- (v) Let $\underline{\mathcal{D}}' = \underline{\mathcal{D}} \cap \mathcal{D}'$. Then $\underline{\mathcal{D}}'$ and $\mathcal{D}'$ have the same objects and the inclusion $\underline{\mathcal{D}}' \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{D}}$ induces a natural weak equivalence of homotopy colimits:

$$\operatorname{hocolim}_{(d \downarrow \underline{\mathcal{D}}')} X \simeq \operatorname{hocolim}_{(d \downarrow \underline{\mathcal{D}})} X,$$

where $(d \downarrow \underline{\mathcal{D}}')$ and $(d \downarrow \underline{\mathcal{D}})$ are comma categories formed in $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$.

- (vi) Suppose that for every morphism $\varphi: d \longrightarrow d'$ of $\underline{\mathcal{D}}'$, the induced map $X_\varphi: X_d \longrightarrow X_{d'}$ is a weak equivalence. Then for every $d \in \operatorname{ob} \underline{\mathcal{D}}' = \operatorname{ob} \mathcal{D}'$, the inclusion of X_d into the homotopy colimit over $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$ is a weak equivalence:

$$X_d \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{hocolim}_{\underline{\mathcal{D}}} X.$$

The category $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$ is the chosen diagram shape for homotopy colimits. In all of our examples, $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$ has the same objects as $\mathcal{D}$. $\mathcal{D}'$ is the subcategory determining the relative level model structure that we start with. For example, the positive level model structure corresponds to the case where $\mathcal{D}'$ is the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}$ without 0 . Notice that letting $d = 0$ in (v) implies that homotopy colimits over $\underline{\mathcal{D}}'$ and $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$ are equivalent. Also notice that when $\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{D}$, corresponding to the absolute level model structure, the only conditions that need checking are that 0 is initial in $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\operatorname{ob} \underline{\mathcal{D}} = \operatorname{ob} \mathcal{D}$.

We now define the stable model structure on $\mathcal{D}$ -spaces relative to $(\mathcal{D}, \underline{\mathcal{D}}, \mathcal{D}')$. First consider the $\mathcal{D}'$ -relative level model structure on $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}$, (also known as the relative projective model structure). This has weak equivalences the $\mathcal{D}'$ level equivalences ($X_d \longrightarrow Y_d$ a weak equivalence of spaces for each $d \in \operatorname{ob} \mathcal{D}'$), fibrations the level fibrations and cofibrations defined by the left lifting property (LLP) with respect to acyclic fibrations. The generating cofibrations and acyclic cofibrations are given as follows. Let I be the set of inclusions of spaces $i: S^n \longrightarrow D^{n+1}$, and J the set of inclusions $i_0: D^n \longrightarrow D^n \times I$. I and J are the generating cofibrations and acyclic cofibrations for the underlying model structure on $\mathcal{U}$. Given an object d of $\mathcal{D}$, the shift desuspension functor $F_d: \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}$ is left adjoint to evaluation on the object d . Define:

$$F'I = \{F_d i \mid d \in \operatorname{ob} \mathcal{D}', i \in I\}, \quad \text{and} \quad F'J = \{F_d j \mid d \in \operatorname{ob} \mathcal{D}', j \in J\}.$$

Then $F'I$ and $F'J$ are the generating cofibrations and acyclic cofibrations for the $\mathcal{D}'$ -relative level model structure on $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}$.

We will refer to the fibrations and weak equivalences of the $\mathcal{D}'$ -relative level model structure as level fibrations and level equivalences (leaving reference to $\mathcal{D}'$ implicit). The cofibrations of the level model structure will simply be called cofibrations as they coincide with the cofibrations of the stable model structure.

Definition 14.2. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of $\mathcal{D}$ -spaces is a *stable equivalence* if the induced map of (unbased) homotopy colimits over $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$ is a weak equivalence of spaces:

$$f_*: \operatorname{hocolim}_{\underline{\mathcal{D}}} X \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{hocolim}_{\underline{\mathcal{D}}} Y.$$

A map $p: E \rightarrow B$ is a *stable fibration* if it has the right lifting property (RLP) with respect to the acyclic cofibrations, i.e. $\mathcal{D}'$ -level cofibrations that are stable equivalences.

The main result of this section is:

Theorem 14.3. *Suppose that $(\mathcal{D}, \underline{\mathcal{D}}, \mathcal{D}')$ satisfies the hypotheses of Input Data 14.1. Then the category of $\mathcal{D}$ -spaces is a compactly generated topological model category with respect to the cofibrations, stable fibrations and stable equivalences. The model structure is constructed as a left Bousfield localization of the $\mathcal{D}'$ -relative level model structure on $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}$.*

The case of $\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{D}$ is the most important, and we will refer to that case as the *absolute* model structure when it needs emphasis in comparison to the other relative model structures. The examples considered in this paper are:

- (1) $\mathcal{D} = \underline{\mathcal{D}} = \mathcal{D}' = \mathbb{I}$: the *(absolute) stable model structure* on $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces.
- (2) $\mathcal{D} = \underline{\mathcal{D}} = \mathbb{I}$, $\mathcal{D}' = \mathbb{I}_{>0}$: the *positive stable model structure* on $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces.
- (3) $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{I}_f$, $\underline{\mathcal{D}} = \mathcal{I}_f^U$: the *(absolute) stable model structure* on $\mathcal{I}_f$ -spaces.
- (4) $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{I}_f$, $\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{I}_{f>0}$, $\underline{\mathcal{D}} = \mathcal{I}_f^U$: the *positive stable model structure* on $\mathcal{I}_f$ -spaces.

Proposition 14.4. *Examples (1) - (4) all satisfy the hypotheses of Input Data 14.1.*

Proof. For (1) and (3) this is immediate, after noting that (vi) follows from Lemma 12.3. For (2) and (4), (iv) is immediate and (v) and (vi) follow from the equivalences in Lemma 12.5 for $\mathbb{I}$ and $\mathcal{I}_f^U$, respectively. $\square$

The rest of this section consists of the proof of Theorem 14.3. Given a map $\varphi: c \rightarrow d$ in $\mathcal{D}'$, there is an induced natural transformation $\varphi^*: F_d \rightarrow F_c$ of functors $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}$. Let $\lambda_\varphi = \varphi^*(*) : F_d(*) \rightarrow F_c(*)$ be the component of this natural transformation at $*$. By the Yoneda lemma, $F_d(*)$ is the represented $\mathcal{D}$ -space $d^* = \mathcal{D}(d, -)$. Converting to this notation, factor $\lambda_\varphi: d^* \rightarrow c^*$ into a cofibration k_φ followed by a level acyclic fibration r_φ using the mapping cylinder $M\lambda_\varphi$ of λ_φ (defined level-wise):

$$\lambda_\varphi: d^* \xrightarrow{k_\varphi} M\lambda_\varphi \xrightarrow{r_\varphi} c^*.$$

Starting with k_φ and any $i: S^n \rightarrow D^{n+1}$ in the set I of generating cofibrations, passage to pushouts yields the pushout product:

$$k_\varphi \square i: (d^* \times D^{n+1}) \cup_{d^* \times S^n} (M\lambda_\varphi \times S^n) \rightarrow M\lambda_\varphi \times D^{n+1}.$$

Let $k_\varphi \square I = \{k_\varphi \square i \mid i \in I\}$. Define K' to be the union of $F'J$ and the sets $k_\varphi \square I$ over all morphisms φ of $\mathcal{D}'$. K' will be the set of generating acyclic cofibrations for the stable model structure on $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}$.

Proposition 14.5. *A map $p: E \longrightarrow B$ satisfies the RLP with respect to K' if and only if p is a level fibration and the induced map $E_c \longrightarrow E_d \times_{B_d} B_c$ is a weak equivalence for all $\varphi: c \longrightarrow d$ in $\mathcal{D}'$.*

Proof. Since $F'J \subset K'$ and level fibrations are precisely the maps satisfying the RLP with respect to $F'J$, we assume that p is a level fibration and then show that for each morphism φ of $\mathcal{D}'$, p satisfies the RLP with respect to $k_\varphi \square I$ if and only if $E_c \longrightarrow E_d \times_{B_d} B_c$ is an equivalence.

By [13, Lemma 5.16], p has the RLP with respect to $k_\varphi \square I$ if and only if the following map of morphism spaces has the RLP with respect to I :

$$\mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}(k_\varphi^*, p_*): \mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}(M\lambda_\varphi, E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}(d^*, E) \times_{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}(d^*, B)} \mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}(M\lambda_\varphi, B).$$

The latter condition means that $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}(k_\varphi^*, p_*)$ is an acyclic Serre fibration. Since k_φ is a cofibration and p is a level fibration, we know that $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}(k_\varphi^*, p_*)$ is a Serre fibration because the level model structure is topological. Hence p satisfies the RLP with respect to $k_\varphi \square I$ if and only if $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}(k_\varphi^*, p_*)$ is a weak equivalence of spaces.

Since the canonical fibration $r_\varphi: M\lambda_\varphi \longrightarrow c^*$ is a weak equivalence, $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}(k_\varphi^*, p_*)$ is a weak equivalence if and only if

$$\mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}(\lambda_\varphi^*, p_*): \mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}(c^*, E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}(d^*, E) \times_{\mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}(d^*, B)} \mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}(c^*, B)$$

is a weak equivalence. This map is isomorphic to the induced map to the pullback:

$$E_c \longrightarrow E_d \times_{B_d} B_c.$$

Hence $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}(k_\varphi^*, p_*)$ is a weak equivalence if and only if $E_c \longrightarrow E_d \times_{B_d} B_c$ is a weak equivalence, proving the proposition. $\square$

Corollary 14.6. *The trivial map $F \longrightarrow *$ satisfies the RLP with respect to K' if and only if $F_\varphi: F_c \longrightarrow F_d$ is a weak equivalence for every $\varphi: c \longrightarrow d$ in $\mathcal{D}'$.*

We will need to understand how the fiber of a levelwise fibration $E \longrightarrow B$ relates to the fiber of $\text{hocolim}_{\underline{\mathcal{D}}} E \longrightarrow \text{hocolim}_{\underline{\mathcal{D}}} B$. This is provided by the following series of lemmas.

Lemma 14.7. *Suppose that $p: E \longrightarrow B$ satisfies the RLP with respect to K' and fix a point $x \in B_{d_1}$. For every morphism*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & d_1 & \\ \alpha \swarrow & & \searrow \beta \\ c & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & d \end{array}$$

of the comma category $(d_1 \downarrow \mathcal{D}')$, the induced map of fibers

$$\gamma_*: p^{-1}(\alpha_*(x)) \longrightarrow p^{-1}(\beta_*(x))$$

is a weak equivalence.

Proof. Let $d_1^* = \mathcal{D}(d_1, -)$ be the $\mathcal{D}$ -space represented by d_1 . Define a map of $\mathcal{D}$ -spaces $\chi: d_1^* \longrightarrow B$ by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(d_1, d) &\longrightarrow B_d \\ \varphi &\longmapsto \varphi_*(x) \end{aligned}$$

Thus χ picks out all the possible images of x under morphisms in $\mathcal{D}$. Notice that p is a level fibration by Proposition 14.5. Form the pullback of χ with p :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} d_1^* \times_B E & \longrightarrow & E \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ d_1^* & \xrightarrow{\chi} & B \end{array}$$

Since p has the RLP with respect to K' , π does as well. By Proposition 14.5, γ induces a weak equivalence:

$$\gamma_* : (d_1^* \times_B E)(c) \xrightarrow{\simeq} (d_1^* \times_B E)(d) \times_{\mathcal{D}(d_1, d)} \mathcal{D}(d_1, c),$$

In fact, γ_* is an equivalence of fibrations over $\mathcal{D}(d_1, c)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (d_1^* \times_B E)(c) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_*} & (d_1^* \times_B E)(d) \times_{\mathcal{D}(d_1, d)} \mathcal{D}(d_1, c) & \longrightarrow & (d_1^* \times_B E)(d) \\ \pi_c \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi' & & \downarrow \pi_d \\ \mathcal{D}(d_1, c) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{D}(d_1, c) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_*} & \mathcal{D}(d_1, d) \end{array}$$

Notice that $p^{-1}(\beta_*(x))$ is the fiber of the fibration $\pi_d : (d_1^* \times_B E)(d) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(d_1, d)$ over the point β . Since the right hand square is a pullback, $p^{-1}(\beta_*(x))$ is also the fiber of π' over α . The equivalence of the fibers of π_c and π' over α gives the desired equivalence: $p^{-1}(\alpha_*(x)) \xrightarrow{\simeq} p^{-1}(\beta_*(x))$. $\square$

For the following lemma, we make a choice of fiber by choosing a nondegenerate basepoint $* \in B_0$. Since 0 is initial, this gives well-defined basepoints in each B_d .

Lemma 14.8. *Suppose that $p : E \rightarrow B$ is a level fibration of $\mathcal{D}$ -spaces with fiber F (defined level-wise). Suppose further that p satisfies the RLP with respect to K' . Then $\mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} F$ is the homotopy fiber of $p_* : \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} E \rightarrow \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} B$.*

Proof. Recall the translation category $\mathcal{C}[X]$ associated to a functor $X : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$; its classifying space is canonically homeomorphic to $\mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{C}} X$ (see the proof of Proposition 4.3).

We will apply Quillen's Theorem B [34] to the functor $\bar{p} : \mathcal{D}[E] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}[B]$ induced by the level fibration p . To apply Theorem B, we must first check that for any morphism

$$\varphi : (x, d_1) \rightarrow (\varphi_*(x), d_2) \quad \text{of } \mathcal{D}[B],$$

the induced map of comma categories

$$(14.9) \quad \varphi^* : ((\varphi_*(x), d_2) \downarrow \bar{p}) \rightarrow ((x, d_1) \downarrow \bar{p})$$

induces a weak equivalence of classifying spaces.

For each object (x, d) of $\mathcal{D}[B]$, we define a $(d \downarrow \mathcal{D})$ -space p_x^{-1} by:

$$p_x^{-1}(d \xrightarrow{\alpha} d') = p^{-1}(\alpha_*(x)).$$

A comparison of definitions shows that there is an isomorphism of categories:

$$(14.10) \quad ((x, d) \downarrow \bar{p}) \cong (d \downarrow \mathcal{D})[p_x^{-1}].$$

Hence to show that (14.9) induces a weak equivalence of classifying spaces, it suffices to show that

$$\varphi^* : \mathrm{hocolim}_{(d_2 \downarrow \mathcal{D})} p_{\varphi_*(x)}^{-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{hocolim}_{(d_1 \downarrow \mathcal{D})} p_x^{-1}$$

is a weak equivalence of spaces. We now use the standing assumption (v) of Input Data 14.1 on the equivalence of homotopy colimits over comma categories. This reduces the problem to showing that the following map is an equivalence:

$$\varphi^* : \operatorname{hocolim}_{(d_2 \downarrow \underline{\mathcal{D}}')} p_{\varphi_*(x)}^{-1} \longrightarrow \operatorname{hocolim}_{(d_1 \downarrow \underline{\mathcal{D}}')} p_x^{-1}$$

By Lemma 14.7, every map in these colimit systems is an equivalence. Since the comma categories have initial objects, this means that the functors $p_{\varphi_*(x)}^{-1}$ and p_x^{-1} satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 12.3. Hence the homotopy colimits are equivalent to evaluation at any object, in particular at $\operatorname{id} : d_2 \longrightarrow d_2$ and at φ , respectively:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{hocolim}_{(d_2 \downarrow \underline{\mathcal{D}}')} p_{\varphi_*(x)}^{-1} & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{hocolim}_{(d_1 \downarrow \underline{\mathcal{D}}')} p_x^{-1} \\ \simeq \uparrow & & \simeq \uparrow \\ p^{-1}(\varphi_*(x)) & \longrightarrow & p_x^{-1}(\varphi) \end{array}$$

The bottom map is the identity, hence the map of homotopy colimits is an equivalence as required. The hypotheses for Theorem B are now satisfied.

Theorem B applied to the functor $\bar{p} : \underline{\mathcal{D}}[E] \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{D}}[B]$ over the object $(*, 0)$ of $\underline{\mathcal{D}}[B]$ yields a homotopy pullback of classifying spaces:

$$(14.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} B((*, 0) \downarrow \bar{p}) & \longrightarrow & B\underline{\mathcal{D}}[E] \cong \operatorname{hocolim}_{\underline{\mathcal{D}}} E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B((*, 0) \downarrow \underline{\mathcal{D}}[B]) & \longrightarrow & B\underline{\mathcal{D}}[B] \cong \operatorname{hocolim}_{\underline{\mathcal{D}}} B \end{array}$$

Since the identity map of $(*, 0)$ is the initial object of the comma category $((*, 0) \downarrow \underline{\mathcal{D}}[B])$, the classifying space $B((*, 0) \downarrow \underline{\mathcal{D}}[B])$ is contractible. By (14.10), we have an isomorphism of categories:

$$((*, 0) \downarrow \bar{p}) \cong (0 \downarrow \underline{\mathcal{D}})[p_*^{-1}].$$

Since 0 is initial in $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$, the comma category $(0 \downarrow \underline{\mathcal{D}})$ is isomorphic to $\underline{\mathcal{D}}$. The images of $*$ are the basepoints used to define the fiber F , so $(0 \downarrow \underline{\mathcal{D}})[p_*^{-1}] \cong \underline{\mathcal{D}}[F]$. Taking classifying spaces, we have a homeomorphism:

$$B((*, 0) \downarrow \bar{p}) \cong \operatorname{hocolim}_{\underline{\mathcal{D}}} F.$$

Thus the homotopy pullback (14.11) displays $\operatorname{hocolim}_{\underline{\mathcal{D}}} F$ as the homotopy fiber of $\operatorname{hocolim}_{\underline{\mathcal{D}}} E \longrightarrow \operatorname{hocolim}_{\underline{\mathcal{D}}} B$. $\square$

We can now establish the crucial step in setting up the model structure:

Proposition 14.12. *Suppose that $p : E \longrightarrow B$ is a stable equivalence satisfying the RLP with respect to K' . Then p is a level acyclic fibration.*

Proof. As p has the RLP with respect to $F'J$, it is a level fibration. We must show that it is a level equivalence. Choose a basepoint $* \in B_0$ with images $* \in B_d$. The levelwise fiber over these basepoints forms a pullback of $\mathcal{D}$ -spaces:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F & \longrightarrow & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ * & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

Lemma 14.8 implies that $\mathrm{hocolim}_{\underline{\mathcal{D}}} F$ is the homotopy fiber of a weak equivalence:

$$\mathrm{hocolim}_{\underline{\mathcal{D}}} F \longrightarrow \mathrm{hocolim}_{\underline{\mathcal{D}}} E \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{hocolim}_{\underline{\mathcal{D}}} B.$$

Hence $\mathrm{hocolim}_{\underline{\mathcal{D}}} F$ is contractible. By the pullback square, $F \longrightarrow *$ satisfies the RLP with respect to K' . Corollary 14.6 implies that the maps $F_\varphi: F_d \longrightarrow F_{d'}$ are weak equivalences for all morphisms φ of $\mathcal{D}'$. By assumption (vi) on $(\mathcal{D}, \underline{\mathcal{D}}, \mathcal{D}')$, for every object d of $\mathcal{D}'$, the inclusion

$$F_d \longrightarrow \mathrm{hocolim}_{\underline{\mathcal{D}}} F$$

is a weak equivalence. Thus F_d is contractible. This means the maps $p_d: E_d \longrightarrow B_d$ are equivalences for all objects d of $\mathcal{D}'$, proving that p is a level equivalence. $\square$

Lemma 14.13. *Suppose that $f: X \longrightarrow Y$ is a retract of a relative K' -cell complex. Then f is a stable acyclic cofibration.*

Proof. The maps in K' are all stable acyclic cofibrations; as cofibrations and stable equivalences are preserved under pushout and composition, f is also a stable acyclic cofibration. $\square$

The proof of Theorem 14.3 will be completed by invoking the following criterion for compactly generated model categories:

Theorem 14.14. [21, 4.5.6] *Suppose that $\mathcal{C}$ is a bicomplete category with a subcategory of weak equivalences satisfying the two out of three property. Let I and J be compact sets of maps in $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying the following two assumptions:*

- (i) *Every relative J -cell complex is a weak equivalence.*
- (ii) *A map has the RLP with respect to I if and only if it is a weak equivalence and has the RLP with respect to J .*

Then $\mathcal{C}$ is a compactly generated model category with generating cofibrations I and generating acyclic cofibrations J .

Here a set of maps I is said to be compact if for every domain object X of a map in I and every relative I -cell complex $Z_0 \longrightarrow Z$, the induced map $\mathrm{colim} \mathcal{C}(X, Z_n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(X, Z)$ is an isomorphism.

In our situation, the generating cofibrations are $F'I$ and the generating acyclic cofibrations are K' . Using the adjunction between F_n and evaluation at level n , compactness of $F'I$ and K' follows from compactness of spheres and disks. Condition (i) follows from Lemma 14.13. Proposition 14.12 shows that stable equivalences satisfying the RLP with respect to K' satisfy the RLP with respect to $F'I$. This is one direction of condition (ii). For the other, suppose that f satisfies the RLP with respect to $F'I$, i.e. f is a level acyclic fibration. Since f is a level equivalence, it is a stable equivalence, so we only need to show that f satisfies the RLP with respect to K' . Using the level model structure, f satisfies the RLP with respect to cofibrations. It follows from Lemma 14.13 that f satisfies the RLP with respect to relative K' -cell complexes. In particular, f satisfies the RLP with respect to K' . Thus conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied, so Theorem 14.14 gives the compactly generated model structure on $\mathcal{D}$ -spaces. This concludes the proof of Theorem 14.3.

15. THE EQUIVALENCE OF $\mathbb{I}$ -SPACES AND $\mathcal{S}_f$ -SPACES

The comparison result hinges on the Quillen equivalence of the stable model structure on $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces and the stable model structure on $\mathcal{S}_f$ -spaces. We now turn to proving this equivalence. We first record a standard consequence of Böksted's Lemma (Lemma 12.4), known as the “telescope lemma”:

Lemma 15.1. *Suppose that X is an $\mathbb{I}$ -space such that X_n is λ_n -connected, where $\{\lambda_n\}$ is an unbounded nondecreasing sequence of integers. Then the inclusion of categories $\mathbb{J} \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}$ induces an equivalence of homotopy colimits:*

$$\mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbb{J}} X \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbb{I}} X.$$

Lemma 15.2. *The inclusion of categories $\mathbb{J} \longrightarrow \mathbb{I}$ induces a level equivalence of $\mathbb{I}^{\mathrm{op}}$ -spaces:*

$$B(*, \mathbb{J}, \mathcal{S}_f) \longrightarrow B(*, \mathbb{I}, \mathcal{S}_f).$$

Proof. Fix an object n of $\mathbb{I}^{\mathrm{op}}$. We need to show that the following map of unbased homotopy colimits is an equivalence:

$$\mathrm{hocolim}_{k \in \mathbb{J}} \mathcal{S}_f(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^k) \longrightarrow \mathrm{hocolim}_{k \in \mathbb{I}} \mathcal{S}_f(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^k).$$

The space $\mathcal{S}_f(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^k)$ is the Stiefel manifold of n -frames in $\mathbf{R}^k$, which is $(k-n-1)$ -connected. Thus, as a represented $\mathbb{I}$ -space, $\mathcal{S}_f(\mathbf{R}^n, -)$ satisfies the conditions for Lemma 15.1, which gives the result. $\square$

The following is the key step in proving that $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces and $\mathcal{S}_f$ -spaces are Quillen equivalent:

Proposition 15.3. *Let X be a cofibrant $\mathbb{I}$ -space. Then the unit $\eta: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{U}PX$ of the adjunction $(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{U})$ is a stable equivalence.*

Proof. Since the homotopy colimit functor $\mathcal{D}\mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}$ is a left adjoint, it commutes with colimits, including pushouts, wedges and tensors, i.e. products with spaces. As X is an FI -cell complex, we are reduced to showing that for the shift desuspensions F_n of the point $*$, the map $\eta: F_n^{\mathbb{I}}(*) \longrightarrow \mathbb{U}PF_n^{\mathbb{I}}(*)$ is a stable equivalence. Here $F_d^{\mathcal{D}}: \mathcal{U} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}\mathcal{U}$ denotes the left adjoint to evaluation at an object d of $\mathcal{D}$ and is calculated by $(F_d^{\mathcal{D}}Y)_c = \mathcal{D}(d, c) \times Y$. By a comparison of their right adjoints, there is a natural isomorphism $\mathbb{P}F_n^{\mathbb{I}} \cong F_{\mathbf{R}^n}^{\mathcal{S}_f}$, so we are reduced to showing that the induced map on homotopy colimits is a weak equivalence:

$$\eta_*: \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{I}} \mathbb{I}(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{k}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{I}} \mathcal{S}_f(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^k).$$

We have the canonical level equivalence $B(*, \mathbb{I}, \mathbb{I}) \longrightarrow *$, so the domain is contractible. Lemma 15.2 is the first equivalence in the following chain:

$$\mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{I}} \mathcal{S}_f(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^k) \xleftarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{J}} \mathcal{S}_f(\mathbf{R}^n, \mathbf{R}^k) \simeq *.$$

The second space is contractible, as in the proof of Lemma 11.3. Since the domain and codomain are contractible, η_* is an equivalence as desired. $\square$

Remark 15.4. The preceding proof provides an explanation for why we take homotopy colimits of $\mathcal{S}_f$ -spaces over the natural inclusions $\mathcal{S}_f^U$ and why we use the

whole category $\mathbb{I}$ for $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces. Using the description of the mapping spaces of $\mathbb{I}$ and $\mathcal{S}_f$, we are essentially comparing

$$\mathrm{hocolim}_k(\Sigma_k/\Sigma_{k-n}) \quad \text{to} \quad \mathrm{hocolim}_k(O(k)/O(k-n)).$$

If we took the first homotopy colimit over the natural inclusions $\mathbb{J}$, we would get a space with very nontrivial homotopy groups. Using the whole category $\mathbb{I}$ identifies the permutations appropriately to get a contractible space. On the other hand, the second homotopy colimit would be monstrously difficult to understand if taken over $\mathcal{S}_f$; as we saw, restricting to $\mathcal{S}_f^U$ yields the infinite Stiefel manifold of k -frames in $\mathbf{R}^\infty$, which is contractible. Thus the need for an equivalence of these spaces dictates our choices of diagram shape for homotopy colimits. This difference between the symmetric and orthogonal settings also gives rise to the fact that π_* -isomorphisms and stable equivalences do not coincide for symmetric spectra, while they do for orthogonal spectra, as explained in [13].

Theorem 15.5. *The prolongation functor $\mathbb{P}: \mathbb{I}\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_f\mathcal{U}$ and the forgetful functor $\mathbb{U}: \mathcal{S}_f\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}\mathcal{U}$ induce a Quillen equivalence of the stable model structures.*

Proof. $\mathbb{U}$ preserves fibrations by the characterization of fibrations given in Proposition 14.5. Stable acyclic fibrations and level acyclic fibrations coincide and $\mathbb{U}$ preserves level equivalences, so $\mathbb{U}$ preserves stable acyclic fibrations. Thus $(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{U})$ is a Quillen adjunction.

By [9, 1.3.16], $(\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{U})$ is a Quillen equivalence if $\mathbb{U}$ reflects stable equivalences between fibrant objects and for cofibrant $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces X , the composite

$$(15.6) \quad X \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathbb{U}\mathbb{P}X \xrightarrow{\mathbb{U}r} \mathbb{U}R\mathbb{P}X$$

is a stable equivalence. Suppose that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a map of fibrant $\mathcal{S}_f$ -spaces such that $\mathbb{U}f$ is a stable equivalence. By Lemma 12.3, the vertical arrows in the following commutative diagram are equivalences:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{S}_f^U} X & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{S}_f^U} Y \\ \uparrow \simeq & & \uparrow \simeq \\ X_0 & \longrightarrow & Y_0 \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbb{I}} \mathbb{U}X & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{U}f} & \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbb{I}} \mathbb{U}Y \end{array}$$

Hence the top map is an equivalence, so $\mathbb{U}$ reflects stable equivalences between fibrant objects.

By Proposition 15.3, η is a stable equivalence, so we just need to show that $\mathbb{U}r$ is a stable equivalence. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbb{I}} \mathbb{P}X & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{U}r_*} & \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbb{I}} R\mathbb{P}X & & \\ \uparrow i_* & & \uparrow & \swarrow \simeq & \\ \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbb{J}} \mathbb{P}X & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbb{J}} R\mathbb{P}X & \xleftarrow{\simeq} & (R\mathbb{P}X)(0) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \swarrow \simeq & \\ \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{S}_f^U} \mathbb{P}X & \xrightarrow{r_*} & \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{S}_f^U} R\mathbb{P}X & & \end{array}$$

The three maps from $(R\mathbb{P}X)(0)$ are equivalences by Lemma 12.3. The map r_* is an equivalence because the fibrant approximation r is a stable equivalence. The map $\mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbb{J}} \mathbb{P}X \longrightarrow \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{J}_f^U} \mathbb{P}X$ is an equivalence as a consequence of the cofinality lemma (Lemma 11.2). It remains to show that the map $i_*: \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbb{J}} \mathbb{P}X \longrightarrow \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathbb{I}} \mathbb{P}X$ is an equivalence. Writing homotopy colimits in term of the bar construction, we have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B(*, \mathbb{J}, \mathcal{J}_f \otimes_{\mathbb{I}} X) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & B(*, \mathbb{I}, \mathcal{J}_f \otimes_{\mathbb{I}} X) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ B(*, \mathbb{J}, \mathcal{J}_f) \otimes_{\mathbb{I}} X & \xrightarrow{i_* \otimes \mathrm{id}_X} & B(*, \mathbb{I}, \mathcal{J}_f) \otimes_{\mathbb{I}} X \end{array}$$

By Lemma 15.2, $i_*: B(*, \mathbb{J}, \mathcal{J}_f) \longrightarrow B(*, \mathbb{I}, \mathcal{J}_f)$ is a level equivalence of level-wise cofibrant objects. X is cofibrant in the level model structure, so by [7, 18.4.4.(i)] $i_* \otimes \mathrm{id}_X$ is a level equivalence. Therefore the top map i_* is a stable equivalence, as desired. $\square$

16. THE MODEL STRUCTURE ON COMMUTATIVE FCPs

In this section, we construct the positive stable model structure on commutative $\mathbb{I}$ -FCPs and commutative $\mathcal{J}_f$ -FCPs and show that they are Quillen equivalent. The arguments are formally identical to those of [13], once the homotopical approximation

$$E\Sigma_i \boxtimes_{\Sigma_i} X^{\boxtimes i} \simeq X^{\boxtimes i} / \Sigma_i$$

has been established for cofibrant X , and we will not go into full detail. First we reinterpret the categories of $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces and $\mathcal{J}_f$ -spaces to establish the displayed equivalence.

Consider the category of $\Sigma\mathcal{U}$ of unbased Σ -spaces. Using the cartesian monoidal structure of $\mathcal{U}$, we have the monoidal product $\boxtimes_{\Sigma}$ on $\Sigma\mathcal{U}$ defined by left Kan extension. Let $*$ be the commutative monoid in $\Sigma\mathcal{U}$ defined by $*(\mathbf{n}) = *$ for all $\mathbf{n}$. The product of $*$ -modules $X \boxtimes_* Y$ is defined as the coequalizer of Σ -spaces:

$$X \boxtimes_{\Sigma} * \boxtimes_{\Sigma} Y \rightrightarrows X \boxtimes_{\Sigma} Y \longrightarrow X \boxtimes_* Y.$$

To avoid confusion, we will write the internal cartesian product of $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces as $\boxtimes_{\mathbb{I}}$ in this section.

Proposition 16.1. *The category $\mathbb{I}\mathcal{U}$ of unbased $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces is isomorphic to the category of $*$ -modules in $\Sigma\mathcal{U}$. Furthermore, this isomorphism is monoidal: for $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces X and Y , their product $X \boxtimes_{\mathbb{I}} Y$ as $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces is naturally isomorphic to their product $X \boxtimes_* Y$ as $*$ -modules.*

Proof. A $*$ -module X consists of an underlying functor $\Sigma \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}$ along with associative and unital natural transformations

$$* \times X_m \longrightarrow X_n.$$

These give the maps $X(\iota): X_m \longrightarrow X_n$ that define X on the canonical inclusions $\iota: \mathbf{m} \longrightarrow \mathbf{n}$ of $\mathbb{I}$. Since every morphism in $\mathbb{I}$ can be factored as a canonical inclusion followed by a permutation, this gives the extension of X to an $\mathbb{I}$ -space. Conversely, for an $\mathbb{I}$ -space X the maps $X(\iota): X_m \longrightarrow X_n$ define a $*$ -module structure on the underlying Σ -space. This correspondence of structures is clearly functorial.

It remains to give a natural isomorphism $X \boxtimes_{\mathbb{I}} Y \cong X \boxtimes_* Y$ for $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces X and Y . Both sides of these bifunctors have right adjoints defined by internal function objects and thus preserve colimits. On the other hand, every $\mathbb{I}$ -space is a colimit of represented $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces $\mathbb{I}[\mathbf{m}] = \mathbb{I}(\mathbf{m}, -)$. Consequently, it suffices to prove the result for represented $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces:

$$\mathbb{I}[\mathbf{m}] \boxtimes_* \mathbb{I}[\mathbf{n}] \cong \mathbb{I}[\mathbf{m}] \boxtimes_{\mathbb{I}} \mathbb{I}[\mathbf{n}].$$

A long series of adjunctions shows that $\mathbb{I}[\mathbf{m}] \boxtimes_{\mathbb{I}} \mathbb{I}[\mathbf{n}] \cong \mathbb{I}[\mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{n}]$, and the analogous result is true for represented Σ -spaces $\Sigma[\mathbf{m}] = \Sigma(\mathbf{m}, -)$ (this is essentially [13, Lemma 1.8]). As a $*$ -module, $\mathbb{I}[\mathbf{m}]$ is the free $*$ -module $* \boxtimes_{\Sigma} \Sigma[\mathbf{m}]$. The desired isomorphism follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}[\mathbf{m}] \boxtimes_* \mathbb{I}[\mathbf{n}] &\cong (* \boxtimes_{\Sigma} \Sigma[\mathbf{m}]) \boxtimes_* (* \boxtimes_{\Sigma} \Sigma[\mathbf{n}]) \\ &\cong * \boxtimes_{\Sigma} \Sigma[\mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{n}] \\ &\cong \mathbb{I}[\mathbf{m} \oplus \mathbf{n}] \\ &\cong \mathbb{I}[\mathbf{m}] \boxtimes_{\mathbb{I}} \mathbb{I}[\mathbf{n}]. \end{aligned}$$

□

The point of considering $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces as $*$ -modules is that it makes the computation of the internal cartesian product of $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces much easier. The product of Σ -spaces is given by the formula:

$$(X \boxtimes_{\Sigma} Y)(\mathbf{m}) = \coprod_{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{m}} \Sigma_m \times_{\Sigma_a \times \Sigma_b} X(\mathbf{a}) \times Y(\mathbf{b}).$$

We use the coequalizer definition of $\boxtimes_*$ to deduce the following:

Lemma 16.2. *Let X and Y be $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces. Then $(X \boxtimes_{\mathbb{I}} Y)(\mathbf{m})$ is the coequalizer of the following diagram:*

$$\coprod_{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{m}} \Sigma_m \times_{\Sigma_a \times \Sigma_b \times \Sigma_c} X(\mathbf{a}) \times Y(\mathbf{c}) \rightrightarrows \coprod_{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{m}} \Sigma_m \times_{\Sigma_a \times \Sigma_b} X(\mathbf{a}) \times Y(\mathbf{b}).$$

Here the top map is defined using the inclusion $\Sigma_a \times \Sigma_b \longrightarrow \Sigma_{a+b}$ and the map

$$X(\text{id}_{\mathbf{a}} \oplus \iota): X(\mathbf{a}) = X(\mathbf{a} \oplus \mathbf{0}) \longrightarrow X(\mathbf{a} \oplus \mathbf{b}),$$

while the bottom map is defined using $\Sigma_b \times \Sigma_c \longrightarrow \Sigma_{b+c}$ and

$$Y(\iota \oplus \text{id}_{\mathbf{c}}): Y(\mathbf{c}) = Y(\mathbf{0} \oplus \mathbf{c}) \longrightarrow Y(\mathbf{b} \oplus \mathbf{c}).$$

We have the corresponding results for $\mathcal{I}_f$ -spaces, proved in the same way:

Proposition 16.3. *The category $\mathcal{I}_f\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathcal{I}_f$ -spaces is isomorphic to the category of $*$ -modules in $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{U}$. Furthermore, this isomorphism is monoidal: for $\mathcal{I}_f$ -spaces X and Y , their product $X \boxtimes_{\mathcal{I}_f} Y$ as $\mathcal{I}_f$ -spaces is naturally isomorphic to their product $X \boxtimes_* Y$ as $*$ -modules.*

Lemma 16.4. *Let X and Y be $\mathcal{J}_f$ -spaces. Then $(X \boxtimes_{\mathcal{J}_f} Y)(V)$ is the coequalizer of the following diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{c} \coprod_{A \oplus B \oplus C = V} O(V) \times_{O(A) \times O(B) \times O(C)} X(A) \times Y(C) \\ \Downarrow \\ \coprod_{A \oplus B = V} O(V) \times_{O(A) \times O(B)} X(A) \times Y(B). \end{array}$$

The following lemma is a direct analog of [13, Lemma 15.5]

Lemma 16.5. *Let K be a CW complex, X a $\mathcal{D}$ -space and $n > 0$. Then the quotient map*

$$E\Sigma_i \times_{\Sigma_i} (F_n K)^{\boxtimes i} \boxtimes X \longrightarrow ((F_n K)^{\boxtimes i} / \Sigma_i) \boxtimes X$$

is a level homotopy equivalence.

Proof. We will give the proof for $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{J}_f$; the case of $\mathbb{I}$ is similar. There is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{J}_f$ -spaces $(F_n K)^{\boxtimes i} \cong F_{ni} K^i$ [13, Lemma 1.8]. Hence $(F_n K)^{\boxtimes i}(\mathbf{a}) \cong \mathcal{J}_f(\mathbf{n}\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{a}) \times K^i = O(a) \times_{O(a-ni)} K^i$. By the description of $\boxtimes$ in Lemma 16.2, $((F_n K)^{\boxtimes i} \boxtimes X)(\mathbf{m})$ is the coequalizer of:

$$\begin{array}{c} \coprod_{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{m}} O(m) \times_{O(a-ni) \times O(b) \times O(c)} K^i \times X(\mathbf{c}) \\ \Downarrow \\ \coprod_{\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{m}} O(m) \times_{O(a-ni) \times O(b)} K^i \times X(\mathbf{b}). \end{array}$$

In the coequalizer, all summands are identified with the $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = (\mathbf{n}\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{n}\mathbf{i})$ summand, which is left unchanged, so we have:

$$((F_n K)^{\boxtimes i} \boxtimes X)(\mathbf{m}) \cong O(m) \times_{O(m-ni)} K^i \times X(\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{n}\mathbf{i}).$$

The group Σ_i acts on K^i by permuting the factors and acts on $O(ni)$ by acting through permutation matrices on $(\mathbb{R}^i)^n$, and thus acts on $O(m)$ via the inclusion $O(ni) \longrightarrow O(m)$. Passing to orbits, we have:

$$((F_n K)^{\boxtimes i} / \Sigma_i \boxtimes X)(\mathbf{m}) \cong O(m) \times_{\Sigma_i \times O(m-ni)} K^i \times X(\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{n}\mathbf{i}),$$

and similarly:

$$(E\Sigma_i \times_{\Sigma_i} (F_n K)^{\boxtimes i} \boxtimes X)(\mathbf{m}) \cong (E\Sigma_i \times O(m)) \times_{\Sigma_i \times O(m-ni)} K^i \times X(\mathbf{m} - \mathbf{n}\mathbf{i}).$$

The quotient map $E\Sigma_i \times O(m) \longrightarrow O(m)$ is a $(\Sigma_i \times O(m-ni))$ -equivariant homotopy equivalence. This proves the lemma. $\square$

Proposition 16.6. *For a positive cofibrant $\mathcal{D}$ -space X , the projection*

$$E\Sigma_i \times_{\Sigma_i} X^{\boxtimes i} \longrightarrow X^{\boxtimes i} / \Sigma_i$$

is a stable equivalence.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 16.5 exactly as in [13, Lemma 15.5] and [6, III.5.1]: by assuming that X is an F^+I -cell $\mathcal{D}$ -space and inducting up the cellular filtration of $X^{\boxtimes i}$. $\square$

Proposition 16.7. *Every relative $\mathbf{CK}'$ -cell complex is a stable equivalence.*

Proof. Having proved Proposition 16.6, this follows exactly as in the proof of [13, 15.4]. $\square$

The stable model structure on commutative monoids in $\mathcal{D}$ -spaces follows from Proposition 16.7 by the lifting result [29, 2.3].

Theorem 16.8. *The category $\mathbf{CDU}$ of commutative $\mathcal{D}$ -FCPs is a compactly generated topological model category with fibrations and weak equivalences created in the positive stable model structure on $\mathcal{D}$ -spaces.*

As a special case, we get the stable model structure on commutative $\mathbb{I}$ -FCPs and $\mathcal{I}_f$ -FCPs:

Theorem 16.9. *The categories $\mathbf{CIU}$ and $\mathbf{CI}_f\mathcal{U}$ of commutative $\mathbb{I}$ -FCPs and commutative $\mathcal{I}_f$ -FCPs are compactly generated topological model categories. The fibrations and weak equivalences are created in the stable model structure on $\mathbb{I}$ -spaces and $\mathcal{I}_f$ -spaces.*

Since we have established Proposition 16.6, the proof of [13, Theorem 0.7 (proved in §16)], which establishes the Quillen equivalence between symmetric and orthogonal commutative ring spectra, may be mimicked to prove:

Theorem 16.10. *The prolongation functor $\mathbb{P}$ and forgetful functor $\mathbb{U}$ induce a Quillen equivalence between the categories of commutative $\mathbb{I}$ -FCPs and commutative $\mathcal{I}_f$ -FCPs.*

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Ando, A.J. Blumberg, D. Gepner, M.J. Hopkins, and C. Rezk, *Units of ring spectra and Thom spectra*, arXiv:math.AT/0810.4535.
- [2] M. Ando, M.J. Hopkins, and C. Rezk, *Multiplicative Orientations of KO-theory and of the spectrum of topological modular forms*, preprint.
- [3] A.J. Blumberg, *Progress towards the calculation of the K-theory of Thom spectra*, University of Chicago Thesis, 2005.
- [4] A.J. Blumberg, R.L. Cohen, and C. Schlichtkrull, *THH of Thom spectra and the free loop space*, preprint (2008).
- [5] M. Brun, *Topological Hochschild homology of $\mathbf{Z}/p^n$* , J. Pure Appl. Algebra **148** (2000), no. 1, 29-76.
- [6] A.D. Elmendorf, I. Kriz, M.A. Mandell, and J.P. May, *Rings, modules, and algebras in stable homotopy theory*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 47, American Mathematical Society, 1997.
- [7] P.S. Hirschhorn, *Model categories and their localizations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 99, American Mathematical Society, 2003.
- [8] J. Hollender and R.M. Vogt, *Modules of topological spaces, applications to homotopy limits and E_∞ structures*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **59** (1992), no. 2, 115-129.
- [9] M. Hovey, *Model categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 63, American Mathematical Society, 1999.
- [10] M. Hovey, B. Shipley, and J. Smith, *Symmetric Spectra*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (2000), no. 1, 149-208.
- [11] L.G. Lewis Jr., J.P. May, and M. Steinberger (with contributions by J.E. McClure), *Equivariant stable homotopy theory*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1213, 1986.
- [12] M.A. Mandell and J.P. May, *Equivariant orthogonal spectra and S-modules*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. (2002), no. 755.
- [13] M.A. Mandell, J.P. May, S. Schwede, and B. Shipley, *Model categories of diagram spectra*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **82** (2001), 441-512.

- [14] M.A. Mandell and B. Shipley, *A telescope comparison lemma for THH*, Topology Appl. **117** (2002), no. 2, 161–174.
- [15] J.P. May, *E_∞ ring spaces and E_∞ ring spectra (with contributions by F. Quinn, N. Ray, and J. Tornehave)*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 577, 1977.
- [16] ———, *E_∞ spaces, group completions, and permutative categories*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes, vol. 11, 1974.
- [17] ———, *The geometry of iterated loop spaces*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 271, 1972.
- [18] ———, *The spectra associated to permutative categories*, Topology **17** (1978), 225–228.
- [19] ———, *What precisely are E_∞ ring spaces and E_∞ ring spectra?*, arXiv:0903.2813 (to appear in Geom. Topol.) (2008).
- [20] ———, *What are E_∞ ring spaces good for?*, arXiv:0903.2819 (to appear in Geom. Topol.) (2008).
- [21] J.P. May and J. Sigurdsson, *Parametrized Homotopy Theory*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 132, American Mathematical Society, 2006.
- [22] J.P. May and R. Thomason, *The uniqueness of infinite loop space machines*, Topology **17** (1978), 205–224.
- [23] C. Rezk, *The units of a ring spectrum and a logarithmic cohomology operation*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (2006), no. 4, 969–1014.
- [24] J. Rognes, *Topological logarithmic structures*, (preprint, to appear in Geom. Topol.)
- [25] C. Schlichtkrull, *Units of ring spectra and their traces in algebraic K-theory*, Geom. Topol. **8** (2004), 645–673.
- [26] ———, *The homotopy infinite symmetric product represents stable homotopy*, Alg. and Geom. Top. **7** (2007), 1963–1977.
- [27] S. Schwede, *On the homotopy groups of symmetric spectra*, Geom. Topol. **12** (2008), 1313–1344.
- [28] ———, *S-modules and symmetric spectra*, Math. Ann. **319** (2001), 517–532.
- [29] S. Schwede and B. Shipley, *Algebras and modules in monoidal model categories*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **80** (2000), 491–511.
- [30] G. Segal, *Categories and cohomology theories*, Topology **13** (1974), 293–312.
- [31] B. Shipley, *Symmetric spectra and topological hochschild homology*, K-theory **19** (2000), 155–183.
- [32] M. Shulman, *Homotopy limits and colimits and enriched homotopy theory*, arXiv:0610194v2 [math.AT].
- [33] ———, *Comparing composites of left and right derived functors*, arXiv:0706.2868v1 [math.CT].
- [34] D. Quillen, *Higher algebraic K-theory. I.*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 341, 1972.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
 E-mail address: lind@math.uchicago.edu